

REPÚBLICA DE MOÇAMBIQUE

MINISTÉRIO DA AGRICULTURA E SEGURANÇA ALIMENTAR

II Biennial Review Report of Mozambique on the Implementation of the Malabo Declaration 2017-2018



Submitted to the African Union on 25/ 07/2019 Maputo, Moçambique

" Moçambique no aumento da produção e da produtividade rumo à fome zero "

<u>PC 1.1</u>				
Country CAADP Process <u>Target:</u> CAADP process to be fully completed at the country level: Reach 100% of the completion, by the year 2020. <u>Indicator:</u> CAADP process completion Index (CAADPPro)	last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{1.1}	Strategic Plan for the Deve aim of transforming the equitable and sustainable security and increase t Government of Mozam Investment Plan (PNISA), with the CAADP principles. In 2018 Mozambique vali 2017, with the collaborat recommendations and re PNISA to two years (2018- extension of PNISA (201 meetings were held in th	ADP Pact in 2011, and in the same year developed a elopment of the Agriculture Sector (PEDSA), with the agriculture sector into a "prosperous, competitive, agricultural sector" capable of contributing to food the income of rural households. In 2013, the bique launched the National Agrarian Sector operating the PEDSA policy framework, and aligned idated the NAIP (PNISA) assessment report (2013- tion of stakeholders in the agriculture sector. The esults of this assessment were used to extend the -2019). an action plan for the implementation of the as-2019) was developed. Two joint sector review and agricultural sector, one in 2017 and the other in a of Agricultural policy research platforms being one	
	Parameter	Progress as at 2018 Yes or No	If No, comment and expected date to complete	
	1. Existence of communication on internalizir or domesticating the Malabo Declaration, p1	ig Yes	Mozambique has the PNISA Evaluation Report that has been socialized with Agrarian Development partners and all stakeholders. This report and the first Biennial Report of the Malabo Declaration are available on the MASA website (www.masa.gov.mz). The social communication of the CAADP process was also carried out through two peer review seminars in the agricultural sector. one in 2017 and the other in 2018 and threemeetings of agricultural policy research platforms, one in 2017 and two in 2018.	

2. Existence of National Roadmap for implementing the Malabo Declaration, p2	Yes	Based on the results of MALABO's first biennial report, the country identified areas of poor performance and produced an action plan for the improvement of MALABO indicators. This action plan was presented at the Kigal summit in September 2018 and socialized with stakeholders from the agrarian sector in the country
3. Existence of Malabo-compliant NAIP Appraisal Report, p3	Yes	The PNISA Evaluation Report is available at www.masa.gov.mz and is in line with the objectives of PEDSA and CAADP. The report identifies key achievements and / or outcomes, gaps and challenges in achieving strategic goals and targets, including the key targets outlined in the Malabo Declaration.
4. Existence of a Malabo-compliant NAIP, p4	Yes	The PNISA ended in 2017 and was extended to 2019 and is being implemented through an Action Plan [PNAS Extension Action Plan (2018-2019)]. The PNISA extension is in line with national and regional strategies as well as with the Government's Quinquanl Program (2015-2019), PEDSA (2011-2020) and the Malabo Declaration (2014).
5. Malabo-compliant NAIP priorities reflected in national budget, p5	Yes	The programmatic priorities of PNISA in accordance with Malabo are reflected in the annual budget of the Social Economic Plan (PES).
6. Existence of Malabo-compliant NAIP M&E system, p6	Yes	The PNISA evaluation report points to the strengthening of an M & E system. To complement the activities included in the PNISA extension, Terms of Reference for the Strengthening of the Monitoring and Evaluation System were produced and the process of strengthening the M & E system is underway with the help of the development partners
7. Existence of Malabo-compliant NAIP implementation progress report, p7	Yes	There are two reports evaluating the progress of PNISA implementation. The first progress report was drawn up in 2014 and the second progress report in 2017. At the same time, the monitoring and evaluation reports on PES activities also

		assess the progress of PNISA implementation.
brochures in the Portuguese and English vers	ions available at the D PCI-MASA). As well as	cific documents that can be consulted in particular; the PNISA Directorate of Planning and International Cooperation of the the CAADP PACT in Portuguese and English, which are CAADP.

<u>1.2</u> AADP based	What major action was undertaken	in the During the period unde	r review two	meetings of the Agricultural Sector	
Cooperation,	last two years (or since the last BR r	o		5 5	
Partnership &	to help acheive this target?, ACTION			actors in the agrarian sector including	
Alliance		0		ers and were re-activated financing	
			•	and coordination mechanisms at all	
<u>Target:</u>				s, MASA has signed alliances with	
Multi-sectorial			•	nd as a result of these alliances	
coordination body and multi-		organizations such as AG	RA, African De	evelopment Bank, Austria, FAO, IFAD,	
stakeholder body		World Bank and others ar		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
fully established and					
operational at					
national level (reach	Development of /Cark and a start	December			
100% for the Quality of multi-	Parameter/Sub-parameter	Progressas at 2018 (yes	or NO)	If No, comments	
sectorial and multi-	1. Existence of quality terms of				
stakeholder	refereence (TORs), Qc1				
coordination body, Qc) by 2020.				Terms of Reference (ToR's) were	
	1a. Existence of the TORs, p _{TOR1}	Yes		produced for the Agrarian Sector	
				Coordination Committee (CCSA)	
<u>Indicator:</u>	-	i. Alignment of the objectives	Yes	The ToRs reflect the objectives	
Existence of, and		ii. Roles and responsibilities	Yes	and indicate precisely the	
Quality of multi-		iii. Roadmap	Yes	responsibilities and tasks of each	
sectorial and multi-				stakeholder. A project to	
stakeholder coordination body	1b. Reflection of the key			strengthen the operation of CCS.	
(Qc)	elements, p _{TOR2}			was developed in 2018 and this	
		lv. Buget	Yes	was financed by AGRA. This	
				project includes the roadmap and	
				budget for the effective operation of CCSA.	
		i. Government	Yes	of CCSA.	
		ii.CSOs,	Yes		
	1c. Representation of	iii. Private sector	Yes	represented and each has their	
	stakeholders, p _{TOR3}	iv. Farmers	Yes	own responsibility.	
		v. Farmer organizations	Yes		

p _{TOR4}	ii.CSOs,	Yes	the Ministers and each Ministry is
	iii. Private sector	Yes	necessarily represented by the
	iv. Farmers	Yes	National Director and officials,
	v. Farmer organizations	Yes	representing the cooperation partners of the group - AgRED (bilateral, multilateral and other global initiatives), representative of the main organizations of the private sector of the agricultural branch, including the Confederation of Economic Associations of Mozambique (CTA), representatives of large producer organizations including the National Union of Peasants (UNAC) and Civil Society.
1e. Existence of list of official nominees (number + seniority) and affiliation, p _{TOR5}	Yes		There is a fixed list of participants and institutions

Parameter/Sub-parameter		Prog	ress as at 2018
2. Level of implementation of the coordination actions, Qc ₂	·		
	Total number of meetings planned in the TORs for the evaluation period, NmT	4	
2a. Performance for meetings held, p _{IMP1}	Total number of meetings organized during the evaluation period, NmO		2
2b. Level of engagement, Number of invitationsreceived by the		Meeting 1:	120

р _{імр2}	stakeholders for each meeting, NIN(i)	Meeting 2:	111
		Meeting 3:	number
		Meeting 4:	number
			number
	Total number of required participants in the TORs, NINT		tion the institutions that should umber of participants.

Parameter/Sub-parameter	Progress a	as at 2018
3. Level of participation and inclusiveness, Qc ₃	The CCSA is composed of five sectors (public sector, private sector, academia, Civil Society, Landscapers of Cooperation, Producers Organization).	
3a. Total number of organizations, N _{org}	5	
3b. Total number of meetings organized, N _{mO}	2	2
	Meeting 1: 2017	33
	Meeting 2: 2017	number
3c. Number of organizations present at the meetings organized, $\sum N_{orgi}$	Meeting 3: 2018	35
	Meeting 4: 2018	number
		number

Parameter/Sub-parameter	Progress as at 2018
4. Level of commitment to decisions, Qc ₄	
4a. Total number of recommendations taken during the evaluation period, N_{RT}	4

4b. Total number of decisions taken with out of the number of recommendations during the evaluation period, N_{DT}	4
4c. Number ofdecisions implemented, N _{DI}	4

Parameter/Sub-parameter	Progress	s as at 2018
5. Level of Representation, Qc₅		
5a. Total expected senior attendance per meeting, T_{SA}	37	
5b. Total number of meetings organized, N _{mo}		2
	Meeting 1:	37
	Meeting 2:	35
5c. Observed total senior attendances at each meeting, $\sum O_{SAi}$	Meeting 3:	x
	Meeting 4:	x
		number

 Sources of verification and other specific comments: The Agrarian Sector Coordination Committee (CCSA) is responsible for monitoring the implementation of PNISA and is headed by the Ministry that oversees the area of Agriculture and Food Security. It consists of public services, development corridor members, development partners, private sector organizations, producer organizations, academia and civil society.

PC 1.3 CAADP based Policy & Institutional Review/ Setting/ Support	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{1.3}	Design and approval of several instruments, including the abix enlisted, which are extremely competitive for the implementation of PEDSA / PNISA and the Malabo Declaration, with the ultimate objective of guaranteeing the country's food and nutritional security and regulating the functioning of the agrarian sector.
<u>Target:</u> Evidence-based policies and	Parameter/Sub-parameter	Progress as at 2018

tegies evidence: EPE
d strategies in the NAIP, TNP 20
tegies that are evidence-based, NEP 20
d regulations): EPI
tegies elements in the NAIP that required 12 12
s and regulations) that exist to support 6
ted to agricultural policy planning, 567 ne Ministry of agriculture: FTE
e staff positions for planning and M&E, FTP 772
s filled, FTS
er specific comments:
h in the he Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security (MASA) has promoted the realization and socialization of studies on public expenditure in agriculture. In 2018, MASA initiated the development of a World Bank study of public expenditures in agriculture and this
the country. This result has been drawn up with a number of actors including the Ministry of Economy and Finance, Development Partners and the Private Sector to raise awareness of key actors financing the agricultural sector in order to increase investment in this sector. The PNISA evaluation report reported a shortfall of 85% in
s filled, FTS er specific comments: he Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security (MASA) has promoted the realiza socialization of studies on public expenditure in agriculture. In 2018, MASA the development of a World Bank study of public expenditures in agriculture study showed that these are still below the 10% target of total public expend the country. This result has been drawn up with a number of actors inclue Ministry of Economy and Finance, Development Partners and the Private S raise awareness of key actors financing the agricultural sector in order to

least 10% from the year 2015 to 2025. Indicator: Government agriculture expenditure as % of total government	plan to improve investment in the agricultural sector. From this plan, MASA started a project development activity to guarantee financing in the agrarian sector and currently MASA is implementing projects financed by the African Development Bank, the World Bank and AGRA.The PNISA evaluation report also recommended increasing private sector involvement in agriculture and reactivation of the Agricultural Sector Coordination Committee (CCSA) to increase the mobilization of funds for the sector. The MASA with the help of the World Bank has initiated the development of the
expenditure (ţGAE)	private sector development strategy in agriculture and is in the stage of validation and finalization. The CCSA is being reactivated and the new terms of reference have already been developed and this platform will also serve as a mechanism for the mobilization of funds for the sector.

Parameter/Sub-parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
1. Total Government Expenditure in local currency units (TGE)	240093,800,000.00	200 490000000.80	208 998000000.80	247265562000.00	302928100000.00
2. Governement Agriculture Expenditure in local currency units (GAE)	11445000000	100960000006	6402000000	8974000000	Data not available
2a. GAE on crops	502925989.77	639050543.67	650201879.34	738132964.47	Data not available
2b. GAE on livestock	191954091.02	180392442.86	79939573.94	136477437.94	Data not available
2c. GAE on forestry	481108459.27	854248401.22	272374471.17	41027706.75	Data not available
2d. GAE on fishing	734295379.12	541813742.60	501786202.19	520391194.50	Data not available

Data on total government expenditure are from General Account of the State 2014 to 2017. Citizen Budget 2018. The data referring to the expenditure of the Agriculture Sector referring to 2014 to 2017 was extracted from public expenditure study conducted by FAO under the *Monitoring and Analysing Food and Agricultural Policies* (MAFAP) in collaboration of MASA in 2018. Data on agricultural expenditure for different agricultural sector groups (crops, livestock, forestry and fishing) is from a public expenditure study conducted by FAO.

DC 2 1::						
<u>PC 2.1ii</u> Public Expenditures to Agriculture.	What major action was undertaken in last two years (or since the last BR rep to help acheive this target? ACTION _{2.1i}	ort) parte exper	A has been conducting rnship with FAO through aditure in the agriculture with NAIP.	n MAFAP. In 2018	MASA carry out a	study on public
<u>Target:</u>			with MAIF.			
Ensure adequate intensity of	Parameter/ Sub-parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
agricultural spending by keeping annual Governement	1. Governement Agriculture Expenditure in local currency units (GAE)	114450000	000 10096000000	6402000000	8974000000	Not Yet available
agriculture expenditure as % of agriculture value	2. Agriculture value added in local currency units (AgVA)- 10^6	132.946		142.766	148.419	152.893
added to no less	2a. AgVA for crops - 10^6	107 028		114 769	119 292	123 256
than (or at a	2a. AgVA for livestock -10^6	8 461	8 971	9 113	9 689	9 791
minimum of) 19% from the year 2015	2a. AgVA for forestry -10^6	9 178	9 701	9 921	10 131	10 339
to the year 2025.	2a. AgVA for fishing -10^6	8 279	8 482	8 962	9 308	9 508
Indicator: Government agriculture expenditure as % of agriculture value added (GAE _{AgVA})	 Sources of verification and ot Agriculture value added was p The data referring to the expe expenditure study conducted 	orovided by nditure of th	National Institute of Stat le Agriculture Sector ref	erring to 2014 to 2		
<u>PC 2.1iii</u>						
Public Expenditures to Agriculture. <i>Target:</i> Ensure that Official Development Assistance (ODA) committed to implement the NAIPs is fully	What major action was undertaken in last two years (or since the last BR rep to help acheive this target? ACTION _{2.1i}	ort) same share partn partn agrari coord CCSA	esults of the PNISA assest being mainly due to la d with AgRED (a platform ers in Mozambique).MAS ers via AgRED and has e an sector. AgRED advi ination of activities in t is being reactivated and ng years and in particular	ck of disbursemen n that aggregates a A has closely follow engaged them to in ises the reactivati he agricultural sect d it is expected tha	t of the developme Il the development ved the initiatives of crease their levels on of the CCSA tor and consequent t funding levels wi	ent partners was and cooperation f the cooperation of funding in the to improve the tly the financing.

countries. The	Parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
target is to have 100% ODA disbursement	1. ODA for agriculture, gross disbursements in current US\$ (agODAD)	114.631.531	54.936.545	65.169.073	40.277.446	49.736.879
annually from 2015 to 2025.	2. ODA for agriculture, commitments in current US\$ (agODAC)	117.298.265	66.566.353	71.478.852	78.276.826	42.980.992
Indicator: Official development assistance for agriculture, disbursement as % of commitment (agODA)	 Sources of verification and other specific of the specific of the	comments:http://	www.odamoz.or	g.mz/request/cu	istom/ accessed (05/16/2019
(agodA) C 2.2						
Domestic Private Sector Investment in Agriculture. <u>Target:</u> Ensure that government investment leverage at least X times domestic private investment in agriculture sector by	last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{2.2} t t v ((C S	he NAIP assessme griculture.Thus, N he design of a stra of DIFID in 2018 w he strategy of priv- alidation and fina FDA) is implement ooperation partne takeholders to dis participation of this	ASA developed to ategy of private se as secured for th vate sector involv lization phase. M ing the tripartite ers. Through this scuss the financir	erms of reference ector involvement is purpose. In the rement in agricul IASA through the programinvolving program, MASA ng of the private	for the mobilizat in agriculture, ar same year the o ture began and is Agriculture Dev government, pri organizes meeti	ion of funds fo ad the financing development o already in the elopment Fund vate sector and ngs with these
2025. (SILENT).						
Indicator:	Parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Ratio of domestic	1. Domestic private sector investment in agriculture in current US\$ (DPrIA)(*10^6).	183.09	2.20	14.32	0.75	3.81
private sector	2. Agriculture value added in current US\$ (AgV					

PC 2.3 Foreign Private Sector Investment	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report)	result, there	is a notable gro	owth of large farr	ns resulting from	culture sector. As a increased private
in Agriculture. <u>Taraet:</u>	to help acheive this target? ACTION_{2.3}	authorized ir		ne agriculture sect	or, representing 9	9.67% of the total
Ensure that government investment leverage	Parameter	20)14 201	.5 2016	2017	2018
at least Y times foreign private direct investment in	1. Foreign private direct investment in agriculture in current US\$ (FPrIA) (10^6)	35	8.15 92.2	24 47.44	29.21	38.5
agriculture sector by 2025. <mark>(SILENT)</mark> .	2. Agriculture value added in current US\$ (A)(10^6).	.gVA) 4.02	28,66 4.150	,74 4.326,23	4.497,55	4.633,13
Indicator: Ratio of foreign private sector investment in agriculture to agriculture value added (;FPrPb)	 Sources of verification and other specifi These data were collected in the APIEX (M periods. 		de and Commerce)and refer to the p	rojects authorized	l in the respective
PC 2.4						
Market Access to	What major action was undertaken in the	In addition to	the formal finance	ial market through	commercial banks	, microbanks and
Agricultural	last two years (or since the last BR report)	credit union	s, the governmen	t has been implem	nenting agricultura	l loan programs.
Finanace.	to help acheive this target? ACTION _{2.4}	Examples of	these programs ar	e SUSTENTA guara	ntee Fund with Ba	nco Comercial de
—				promotion of cashe	•	• •
<u>Target:</u> Ensure that 100% of		-	•	or meat, horticultur	• •	•
men and women				lso developed strat	-	
engaged in				he Economy, Rural Sector (2013-2022)		
agriculture have access to financial		Inclusion.		Sector (2013-2022)		legy for Financial
services to be able to transact	-					
agriculture business,	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
by 2025.	1. Total number of men and women					
Indicator:	engaged in agriculture (NtAg = NtAgM + NtAgW)	11.876.423	9.385.210	9.273.264	9.161.317	8.942.765
Proportion of men	1a. Number of men engaged in	5.404.517	4.241.904	4.241.192	4.240.480	4.139.319

and women engaged	agriculture (NtAgM)					
in agriculture with access to financial	1b. Number women engaged in agriculture (NtAgW)	6.471.906	5.143.306	5.032.072	4.920.837	4.803.446
services (ţAgFs) Proportion of men and						
women engaged in agriculture with access to financial services (tAgFs)	 Total number of men and women engaged in agriculture that have access to financial services (NfsAg = NfsAgM + NfsAgW) 	135.510	60.202	94.118	115.648	90.763
	2a. Number of men engaged in agriculture that have access to financial services (NfsAgM)	61.666	27.210	43.045	53.530	42.011
	2b. Number of women engaged in agriculture that have access to financial services (NfsAgW)	73.844	32992	51.072	62.118	48752

Agricultural survey data were used. For the number of people who have access to financial services, this number is obtained by multiplying the percentage of households that received credit by the number of people. For the year 2016 and 2018, the average percentage of access to credit was used by the number of people. The number of people in 2016 and 2018 has been filled based on the demographic trends observed from the years in which we have the data from the Integrated Agricultural Survey. Data on access to financial services refer to access to credit for agrarian producers surveyed in the Integrated Agrarian Survey (IAI). These numbers are lower if we consider the total number of producers who have access to financial services such as bank and mobile account. However, these data are not collected under the IAI.

PC 3.1i Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies <u>Target:</u> Ensure minimum	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION_{3.1i}	operational access to ag are being in	lization of the F gricultural inpu nplement in co	nenting the Stra Fertilizer Manag ts and technolo tton sub-secto griculture mech	gement Regu ogies there sc r (input cred	lation. Thus, ome program it), FAO (e-vo	in oder to im ms and proje	•
use of fertilizer for African agriculture development at	Parameter/Sub-parameter		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
level of consumption of at least 50 kilograms	1. Total fertilizers consumption (N+P, N+P+K	-						
per hectare of arable land, from 2015 to	1a. Nitrogen fertilizer consumption (N, FA code 3102) in Kg, Fc1	AUSTAT	33.555.000	12.016.000	13.390.000	20.101.000	24.775.000	
2025.	1b. Phosphate fertilizer consumption (P, code 3103) in Kg, Fc ₂		Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	kg
<u>Indicator:</u> Fertilizer consumption	1c. Potash fertilizer consumption (K, FAO 3104) in Kg, Fc₃	STAT code	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	
(kilogram of nutrients	2. Arable Land and Permanent Crops in hect	are, L						
per hectare of arable land) (Fz)	2a. Arable land in hectares, L_1		5.560.000	5.560.000	5.560.000	5.560.000	5.560.000	
	2b. Permanent crops land area in hectare	es, L ₂	300.000	300.000	300.000	300.000	300.000	
	 Sources of verification and other specific Data on nitrogen fertilizer consumption and 2015 and 2016, there is no assessme were FAOSTAT. 	comes from	FAO STAT, (i)					
<u>PC 3.1ii</u> Access to	What major action was undertaken in the		ful implement	tation of the N	lational Irrig	tion Drogram	n the Manut	
Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{3.1ii}	Limpopo th Irrigation	ne project for Development I	development o Project (PROIR	of Value Cha RI), as well	in (ProSul) a as the red	nd the Susta uction of VA	inable AT for
<u>Target:</u> Increase the size of irrigated areas (as		on the est	-	systems and o F Public and F cures.				
per its value								

observed in the year 2000), by 100% by	Parameter	2000	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
the year 2025. <i>Indicator:</i>	Irrigated areas in hectares(IA)	21.691	53.870	58.123	59.011	61.117	92.319	92.871
Growth rate of the size of irrigated area (R _i IA)	 Sources of verification and Spe The data on irrigated areas come increase in the irrigated area from PROSUL and PROIRR as well as the of some irrigation systems. Data 	s from the N n 2016 to 20 he rehabilita	ational Instit)17 and conti tion of the Lin	nued in 2018 npopo and C	3. This increa hockwe low	ment was du irrigation sys	e to the imple tems, INIR in	ementation of the rehabilit
<u>C 3.1iii</u>								
Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies <u>Target:</u> Double (100% increase) the current levels of quality agricultural inputs for crops	What major action was undertake last two years (or since the last BR to help acheive this target? ACTIO	report) in N _{3.1iii} th M pi th ch de	tervention an le promotion loçambique a roductivity has le negative effi naracterized b eficit or exces	d technical as of pest re nd the prop been almos ects of clima y a variation s of precipita	ssistance, the esistant vari er practice t constant, du tic factors, to of the tem ation in critic	ase of product use of improve eties (seeds of cultural fu- ue to the asso the prevalence perature in the al periods of	ved and high y / cuttings) itures. In the ciation of vari ce of the El Ni ne period of the crop, pas	yielding variet by <i>Cervejas</i> e last five ye ous causes, fr nõ phenomen capsule openi sing through
(seed), livestock (breed), and fisheries (fingerlings), by the year 2025 from the year 2015.		of th th	f adoption of ne low level of	technologies adoption of ution of pro	that promote integrated p duction inpu	germinative p e productivity est and disea: its by the de ions.	increase as t se manageme	he plant dens ent and ending
<u>Indicator:</u>	Parameter/Sub-parameter		Сгор	201	5	2016	2017	20
Growth rate of the ratio of supplied		Crop 1:	Maize	42,658	3.48	36,805.05	48,750 to	n 48,95

<u>Indicator:</u>	Parameter/Sub-parameter	Сгор	2015	2016	2017	2018
Growth rate of the ratio of supplied		Crop 1: Maize	42,658.48	36,805.05	48,750 ton	48,950 ton
quality agriculture inputs (seed, breed,	1. Total national quality agriculture inputs requirement for the selected crop (AgIRi)	Crop 2: Beans	80,463.30	72,702.00	77,800.00	80,700.00
fingerlings)to the total national inputs		Crop 3: : Cassava	9,532,400,000	,913,390,000	9,500,000,000	10,170,000,000
requirements for the commodity(in %), is :		Crop4: Cashew nuts	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
(ţAI _t)		Crop 5: Cotton	4000 ton	4000 ton	4000 ton	4000 ton
	1a. Total area cultivated of the	Crop 1: Maize	1,706,339	1,472,202	1,950,000	1,959,000

selected crop(Ac1)	Crop 2: Beans	804,633	727,020	778,000	807,000
	Crop 3: : Cassava	953,240	791339	950,000	1,017,000
	Crop4: Cashew nuts	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
	Crop 5: Cotton	120,000	101000	114,000	141,100
	Crop 1: Maize	25 kgs/ha	25 Kg/ha	25 Kg/ha	25 Kg/ha
	Crop 2: Beans	100 kgs/ha	100 kgs/ha	100 kgs/ha	100 kgs/ha
1b. Recommended seed rate of the selected crop, (<i>Rs</i> ₁)	Crop 3: : Cassava	10.000 stakes/ha	10.000 stakes /ha	10.000 stakes /ha	10.000 stake /ha
	Crop4: Cashew nuts	44 seedling nursery /ha	44 seedling nursery /ha	44 seedling nursery /ha	44 seedling nursery /ha
	Crop 5: Cotton	30 kg/ha	30 kg/ha	30 kg/ha	30 kg/ha
. Total amount of quality seed of	Crop 1: Maize	4,265.85	3,680.5	4,875.00	4,895.00
	Crop 2: Beans	8,046.33	7,270.20	7,780.00	8,070.00
improved varieties sold for the selected crop $(AglS_1)$	Crop 3: : Cassava	953,240,000	791,339,000	950,000,000	1,017,000,000
	Crop4: Cashew nuts	1.665.645	3.688.274	2.043.844	4.218.117
	Crop 5: Cotton	526	190	232	130
3. Ratio of supplied quality agriculture inputs to the total	Crop 1: Maize	10	10	10	10
national inputs requirements for the selected crop (Ri)	Crop 2: Beans	10	10	10	10
	Crop 3: : Cassava	10	10	10	10
	Crop4: Cashew nuts				
	Crop 5: Cotton				

quality seed quantity of improved varieties provided by other actors in the agriculture sector such as development partners, non-governmental organizations, private sector among others.

Parameter	Livestock	2015	2016	2017	2018
	Cattle	960.230	986.200	1.044.384	1.100.463
	Sheep	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
1. Total number of female animals at	Goats	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
reproductive age(<i>NfcRa</i> ₁)	Pigs	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
	Camel	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
	Other	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
	Cattle	Not available	Not available	120	450
	Sheep	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
2. Total number of female animals at	Goats	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
reproductive age that are artificially inseminated, (<i>NfcRal</i> ₁)	Pigs	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
	Camel	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
	Other	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
	Cattle	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl
	Sheep	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl
 Extent to which improved breeds is 	Goats	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl
used at national level by farmers(<i>Ri</i>)	Pigs	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl
	Camel	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl
	Other	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl

Mozambique only collects information on cattle. However, in the year 2015, the country was plagued by the El Nino phenomenon, which was characterized by severe drought in the south and floods in the northern region and one of the immediate consequences of this phenomenon was the mortality of cattle, followed of massive slaughter of animals, since many farmers in the family sector (the largest owner of livestock in the country) were not able to feed the animals.

It should be emphasized that the country has set up an embryo laboratory for the insemination of animals. However due to the high cost of insemination most of local farmers do not have the capital to do so as a consequence the laboratory ended up closing the door.

Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018
Livestock (poultry)				
1. Total number ofchicken, <i>(Nch)</i>	15.198.059	16.261.923	17.400.258	18.618.276
2. Total number of day old chicks supplied or sold, <i>(N_{DOC})</i>	Not available	85.846.617	100.788.961	111.013.266
3. Extent to which day old chicks are used at the national level by farmers (<i>Ri</i>)	Not Available	13.960.310	17.537.539	20.668.756
Fish				
1. Total capacity (in number of fish) of fish ponds,(<i>Cfp)</i>	1.133	1.180	1.835	3.245
2. Total number of improved fingerlings supplied or sold, (<i>N_{Fgi}</i>)	2.499.447	2.079.039	2.646.328	2.555.374
3. Extent to which the imporved fingerlings are used at the national level by farmers(<i>Ri</i>)	2.206.043	1.761.897	1.442.140	787.480

	 Sources of verification and other specification and other specifi	nal Directorate of Vetinary and Ministry of Fisheries.								
PC 3.1iv										
Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies <u>Target:</u> All farmers have access to quality agricultural advisory services that provide locally relevant knowledge, information and other services by 2018.	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION_{3.1iv}	· · ·								
<u>Indicator:</u> Proportion of farmers										
having access to Agricultural Advisory	Parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018				
Services (AFAgAS)	1. Number of farmers having access to Agricultural Advisory Services, NFAgAS	638.165	622.579	634.345	693,357	730,111				
	2. Total number of farmers, NF	11.876.423	9.385.210	9.273.264	9.161.317	8.942.765				
	 Sources of verification and other spe The data referring to the number of farme 		the Extension ser	vices were extrac	ted from the Inte	grated Agricultur				

	Survey (IAI) and at National Directorate of A	gricultural Exten	sion.			
<u>C 3.1v</u> Access to						
Access to Agriculture inputs	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report)			Enter text		
and technologies	to help acheive this target? ACTION _{3.1v}					
Terret						
<u>Target:</u> Increase the level of						
Investments in	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Agricultural Research and Development to at	1. Total Agricultural Research Spendingin local currency units (lcu), TARS	290.705.937,92	172.260.444,50	64.301.971,52	43.294.959,38	
least 1% of the Agricultural GDP,	1a. Salaries (for scientists with PhD, MS, and BS), W	12.791.0612,68	91.298.035,58	45.654.399,78	30.089.996,76	Data is not available yet.
from 2015 to 2025. Indicator:	1b. Program and operations cost, POC	101.747.078,27	58.568.551,13	17.361.532,31	10.607.265,05	Data is not available yet.
Total Agricultural Research Spending as	1c. Capital investment, Cl	84.304.721,99	20.671.253,34	771.623,65	2597.697,56	Data is not available yet.
a share of AgGDP (ţTARS)	2. Agriculture value addedin local currency units (lcu), AgGDP		136.974.400.000,00	142.765.600.000,00	148.419.000.000,00	Data is not available yet.
-	 2. Agriculture value addedin local currency units (lcu), AgGDP Sources of verification and other specific of Regarding the total expenditure of agricult Public Expenditure of the agricultural sector 	ural research wa	s extracted in the	e-SISTAFE cited	in the study in th	he analysis o

<u>PC 3.1vi</u> Access to Agriculture inputs and technologies	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION_{3.1vi}			Enter text		
<u>Target:</u> Ensure that 100% of						
farmers and	Parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
agribusiness interested in agriculture have	1. Total adult agricultural population in the country, NTFHh	11.876.423	9.385.210	9.273.264	9.161.317	8.942.765
rights to access the required land by 2018.	1a. Number of men, NTFHh _M	5.404.517	4.241.904	4.241.192	4.240.480	4.139.319
<u>Indicator:</u> Proportion of adult agricultural	1b. Nuber of women, NTFHhw	6.471.906	5.143.306	5.032.072	4.920.837	4.803.446
population with ownership or secure	 Number of agricultural population with secure land rights, NFHhSL 	Not Available	Not Available	397.993	468.037	536.033
land rights over agricultural land	2a. Number of men, $NFHhSL_M$	Not Available				
(ţHhSL)	2b. Nuber of women, NFHhSL _w	Not Available				

- Under the ongoing Safe Earth Program, implemented by the Ministry of Land, Environment and Rural Development (MITADER), five million Land Use and Land Use Rights (DUAT's) will be issued and delivered on a massive basis until 2019, being also delimited, zoned and registered in the Land Information and Management System (SIGIT),
 (ii) increasing the number of producers with DUAT through the Terra Segura Program.
- One of the major gains from the distribution of DUAT to producers is the reduction of the land conflict, as well as the improvement of negotiating capacity between investors and local communities when conducting community consultations to carry out investment projects.

<u>PC 3.2i</u>			
Agricultural	What major action was undertaken in the		
Productivity	last two years (or since the last BR report)	Enter text	
	to help acheive this target? ACTION_{3.2i}		
<u>Target:</u>			

Double (100% increase) the current agricultural labor productivity levels by the year 2025 from the year 2015.

Indicator:

Growth rate of Agriculture value added per agricultural worker (tAgW)

		Baseline (2011-2015)					
Parameter	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
1. Agriculture added value in constant local currency units (AgGDP)								
1a. Agriculture added value in current local currency units (AgGDP _{cu})	123.303.000.000	125.029.00.000.	128.237.000.0 00	132.94 5.900.0 00	1369 7440 0000	1427 6 5 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	148419000 000	152893400 000
1b. AgGDP deflator (AgGDP _{def})	6,8	6,8	12,9	17,7	0,4	6,8	6,8	12,9
2. Total number of agricultural workers (W)	Not Available	879975	77546	76270	67535	Not Availabl e	879975	77546

Sources of verification and Specific comments: Added Value of Agriculture and the number of workers in the Agrarian Sector provided by the National Statistical Institute (INE) - www.ine.gov.mz. Regarding the number of workers in the Agriculture sector was extracted from the Family Budget Survey (IOF) 2014/2015 and we do not have data for the years 2011-2014. It should be noted that the periodicity of the IOF is 5 years. Data on the number of workers in the agricultural sector correspond to the number of agrarian workers enrolled in the National Institute of Social Security (INSS). These figures are below the actual number of agrarian workers, given that many are not registered with the INSS and there is a need to collect this information in the agricultural sector surveys.

<u>PC 3.2ii</u>		
Agricultural	What major action was undertaken in the	This component includes the availability of improved seeds and fertilizers, contracting
Productivity	last two years (or since the last BR report)	and training of extension workers and greater assistance to producers, construction
	to help acheive this target? ACTION _{3.2ii}	and rehabilitation of regions in areas with greater agricultural potential, as well as the
<u>Target:</u>		operationalization of the National Agricultural Mechanization Program, which
Double (increase by		increase of the areas planted by the establishment of 140 Agriculture Service Centers
100%) the current		and 604 tractors with the corresponding tools, of which 162 were for the South, 295
agricultural land		for the Central zone and 158 for the Northern zone (of which 513 were allocated by
productivity levels, by the year 2025		the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security, 71 by the Zambezi Valley Development
from the year 2025		Agency, and 20 by the Sustainable Devel- opment Fund).
from the year 2015.		With the National Agricultural Mechanization Program, approximately 122,956
Indicator:		hectares were worked, equivalent to 67% in relation to the installed capacity of
Growth rate of		184,680 hectares, benefiting 51,048 direct and 255,240 indirect farmers , and 1,876
agriculture value		jobs were created and production of about 367,434 tons of diverse crops.
added per hectare of		
agricultural land		

		Basel	ine (2011-	2015)				
Parameter	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
1. Agriculture added value in constantlocal currency units (AgGDP)								
1a. Agriculture added value in constant local currency units (AgGDP _{cu})	123.303.0 00.000	125. 0 2 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	128. 2 3 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	132.945.90 0.000	1369 7440 0000	1427 6 5 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	148419000 000	15289340 000
1b. AgGDP deflator (AgGDP _{def})	6,8	6,8	12,9	17,7	0,4	6,8	6,8	12,9
2. Agricultural land in hectares (L)	36.000.00 0	36.000.00 0	36.000.00 0	36.000.00 0	36.000.00 0	36.000.00 0	36.000.00 0	36.000.0 0

(ţAgL)

C 3.2iii Agricultural Productivity <u>Target:</u> Double (100% increase) the current agricultural yield levels, by the year 2025 from the year 2015. <u>Indicator:</u> Growth rate of the yield of commodity <i>i</i> (ţYI _i)	What major action last two years (or a	Tication and Specific common n was undertaken in the since the last BR report) is target? ACTION3.2 iii	The Governmer the Agriculture production. The goals in the follo A. Research: ge artificial insemi and embryo pro B. Farmers Assis to at least 2,063 services. C. Mechanizatio machinery and e in a managem machinery parks D. Intensive Ho 0.25 ha for th producers throug E. Intensive Pro chickens, throug reduce the impo	nt of Mozar sector, ar MASA has wing areas eneration a nation, con duction). tance: incr duction). tance: incr tance: incr duction). tance: incr tance: incr duction). tance: incr ticultural e production ghout the duction of th the prod	mbique ad one s dedica and trainservati rease th o impro hment of for prep ach in Product ion of year. Eggs an luction of	has as a gu of the obje ted a speci nsfer of te on agricult e number o ve the cove of agrarian public-priv cion: Establ various veg d Chickens	iding i ectives al atte chnolo ure, p f exte erage develo d level ate p ishme getable : to in	nstrument s is to incre- ention to the post-harves nsion work and supply opment incre- ing of grou- partnership nt of at le e seedling: crease the	the PEDSA rease prod he scope o c seed, tise t technolog ers from 1, of product ubators usi nd (rice) an of service ast 80 gree s for acce national pr	and NAIP in uctivity and f the PEDSA sue culture, gy, vaccines 261 in 2015 tion support ng intensive d harvester, e centers / enhouses of ss by small roduction of
	Commodity	Parameter	2011	2012	201 3	2014	20 15	2016	2017	2018
	1: Maize	1.1. Total production (Pd ₁)	Not available	Not availabl e	3 1.173. 709	1.357.220	1.01 7.15 7	1.107.000	1.224.015	1.231.382

	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	1.722. 500	1.703.500	1.57 0.52 6	1.636.000	1.654.000	1.646.621
2. Beans	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	327.75 0	440.129	402. 317	404.384	432.700	484.215
	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	690.00 0	800.273	804. 633	727.020	778.000	807.024
3. Cassava	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e		4.136.265	3.57 9.07 8	3.418.000	3.867.441	3.794.472
	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e		870.300	620. 605	609.000	325.003	322.549
4. Cashewnuts	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	83.1 40,9 2	63.080,53	81.2 40,9 5	104.179,25	139.088,53	129.644
	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e		Not available	Not avai labl e	Not available	Not available	Not available
5. Cotton	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	64 797	96 153	47 900	40 629	52.145	65.697
	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	142 857	157 000	120 000	101 000	114 068	141.091

	Fish			2	222.82 2	252.379	290. 913	303.384	340.213	1.040.859	
	Chickens			5	55.624	61.154	76.1 61	75.769	88.952	97.982	-
	<u>The 11 AU strategic</u> fisheries, Cassava, S		<u>dities are: Rice,</u>	Maize, Legu	umes,	, Cotton, O	il palı	m, Beef, L	Dairy, Pou	ltry and	
	Insert more commo	dities if necessary or	not listed in th	e 11 AU stra	ategic	agricultur	al co	nmoditie	s.		_
	Food Security (MAS of the work carried productivity indexe Inland Waters and It should be noted t and small farms. In 17.3%, rising from	duction of Maize, Cas A), the Integrated Ag out by the sub-sectors has been noted, ar Fisheries. hat the structure of the relation to large farm 7.2% in 2014 to 19.73 gricultural sector. Sm	ssava, Cotton, Be riculture Survey or that consists nd (iii) - in relat ne country's agri is, it should be no 3% in 2018; this	r, the Nationa of the integra ion to fisher cultural secto oted that in t evolution rel	al Vete ral ma ry pro tor is o the pe eflects	erinary Dir inagement oduction th composed o riod under the growth	ectora of cas e data of 3 ty revie n of na	ate, and th hew, the a were giv pes of farm w there w tional and	e Institute increase o ven by the ns namely as an aver l internati	es (ii) - as a f production Ministry o r: Large, mee rage growth onal private	result n and f Sea, dium of
<u>PC 3.3</u>											_
Post-Harvest Loss <u>Target:</u> Halve (decrease by	What major action wa last two years (or sinc to help acheive this ta	e the last BR report)	Mozambique crops. However, stu indicate that	udies carried	out b	by the FAO	Repre	esentation	in Mozam	bique (2010))
50%) the current levels of Post- Harvest Losses (PHL), by the year 2025 from the year 2015.			scenario, the Directorate o and Internat Strategy from In parallel w Partners are:	of Agrarian Ex tional Coope of the perspect ith this proce	ctension eration ctive of ess, th	on in coord n), is deve f the value ne Governm	ination loping chain i nent o	n with the the Pos n its fullne f Mozamb	Directorat t-Harvest ess. Dique and	e of Plannin Managemer Developmer	g nt nt
Indicator: Reduction rate of Post-Harvest Losses for (at least) the 5			disposal, prod various initia type barns, t potential, wi Management	cessing and co tives with far he construct th particular	onserv rmers, tion o empł	vation of ag , such as th f silos, espen asis on spe	ricultu e cons ecially ecific p	aral production of the struction of the struction of the structure of the	cts, and (ii) of improve s with grea uch as the	to undertak d Gorongosa at productiv Post-Harves	e a- e st

national priority commodities, and possibly for the 11 AU agriculture priority commodities(**†PHL**) Agricultural Policies, Food and Natural Resources (FANRPAN), HELVETAS and AGRIDEA which is being implemented in the provinces of Cabo Delgado and Nampula. It is producing and de-mining improved post-harvest management technologies, highlighting the metal silo, as well as the preparation of the manual on this matter, available from the Directorate of Planning and International Cooperation.

Commodity	Parameter	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	1.1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 1, Pd ₁	Not available	Not available	1.173.709	1.357.220	1.017.157	1.107.000	1.224.015	1.231.38
	1.2a. Loss at Harvesting; Lhv	Not available							
	1.2b. Loss at Storage; Lst	21%	21%	21%	21%	21%	21%	21%	21%
1: Maize	1.2c. Loss at Transport; Ltr	Not available							
	1.2d. Loss at Processing; LPr	Not available							
	1.2e. Loss at Packaging; Lpc	Not available							
	1.2f. Loss at Sales; Lsl	Not available							
	2.1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 1, Pd ₁			327.750	440.129	402.317	404.384	432.700	484.215
	2.2a. Loss at Harvesting; Lhv	Not available							
2: Beans	2.2b. Loss at Storage; Lst	Not available							
	2.2c. Loss at Transport; Ltr	Not available							

		2.2d. Loss at Processing; LPr	Not available							
		2.2e. Loss at Packaging; Lpc	Not available							
		2.2f. Loss at Sales; Lsl	Not available							
		3.1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 1 , Pd ₁	Not available	Not available	4.136.265	3.579.078	3.418.000	3.867.441	3.794.472	4.136.265
		3.2a. Loss at Harvesting; Lhv	Not available							
		3.2b. Loss at Storage; Lst	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%
	3: Cassava	3.2c. Loss at Transport; Ltr	Not available							
		3.2d. Loss at Processing; LPr	Not available							
		3.2e. Loss at Packaging; Lpc	Not available							
		3.2f. Loss at Sales; Lsl	Not available							
		4.1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 1, Pd ₁		83.140,92	63.080,53	81.240,95	104.179,2 5	139.088,5 3	129.644	83.140,92
		4.2a. Loss at Harvesting; Lhv	Not available							
	4: cashew nuts	4.2b. Loss at Storage; Lst	Not available							
		4.2c. Loss at Transport; Ltr	Not available							
		4.2d. Loss at Processing; LPr	Not available							
		4.2e. Loss at Packaging; Lpc	Not available							

	4.2f. Loss at Sales; Lsl	Not available							
	5.1. Production (million tons) of the commodity 1, Pd ₁			96 153	47 900	40 629	52.145	65.697	64 797
	5.2a. Loss at Harvesting; Lhv	Not available							
	5.2b. Loss at Storage; Lst	Not available							
5: cotton	5.2c. Loss at Transport; Ltr	Not available							
	5.2d. Loss at Processing; LPr	Not available							
	5.2e. Loss at Packaging; Lpc	Not available							
	5.2f. Loss at Sales; Lsl	Not available							

The Study conducted by FAO in Mozambique estimate losses of 30-40% in 2011, " Continental Programme on Post-harvest losses Reduction: Mozambique", it is available at http://www.fao.org/3/a-au873e.pdf. This study presents the post harvest losses in terms of quantity for maize, sorghum, rice and cassava. There is no recente study regarding post harvest in Mozambique and therefore, the available points of percentage losses were inputed to the respective crops. The government is now developing a strategy which will bring specific programs for measuring post harvest losses for various commodities and funds were secured to develop the strategy and a team of consultants was hired and it is now in the process of developing the strategy. Additionally, the FAO in collaboration with the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security will undertake a study for assessing maize post harvest losses at different stages of value chain. The funds were secured and the consultant team was hired and preparing the inception report for the study.

PC 3.4 Social Protection <u>Target:</u> Commit within national budgets,	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{3.4}			Enter text		
budget lines that amount to 100% of	Parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
the total resource requirements for coverage of the vulnerable social	1. Budget Allocation to social protection Cash Transfers for food and cash reserves in local currency units, BA _{CT}	Not available	Not available	Not available	1.020.000.000	900.000.000
groups, from 2015 to 2025, for use to support social protection	2. Budget Allocation to social protection Emergency Food Supplies in local currency units, BA _{EFS}	Not available	315,799,000.00	127.480.910.00	162,379, 620.00	137.000.000,00
initiatives, and to address any eventual disasters	3. Budget Allocation to social protection School Feeding in local currency units, BA _{SF}	6.156.058,07	6.778.934,40	12.184.480,56	12.553.441,68	22.922,990,16
and emergencies with food and nutrition security	4. Budget Allocation to social protection Other protective services in local currency units, BA _{Other}	2,563,692.15	2,715,595.38	2,715,595.38	2,548.142	4,277,436.15
implications. <u>Indicator:</u> Budget lines on social	5. Total Budget Allocation to social protection in local currency units, (TBA _{SP} =BA _{CT} + BA _{EFS} + BA _{SF} + BA _{Other})	318362692.2	12762991152	1022715595	1.065485431	3183626922
protection as percentage of the total resource requirements for coverage of the vulnerable social	6. Total Budget Requirements for social protection in local currency units, TBR _{SP}	Not available	677.309.893, 00	309.366.036, 00	2.419.535.75 8,00	2.155.543.56 2,00
groups (ţSP)	Data	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	1a. Total number of beneficiaries of Cash Transfers for food and cash reserves, nBA _{CT}	Not available	Not available	155,048	1.379.728	440.515
	2a. Total number of beneficiaries of Emergency Food Supplies, nBA _{EFS}	123,125	200,728	1,149,881	1,430,105	147,865

3a. Total number of beneficiaries of School Feeding, nBA _{SE}	12.134	14.411	15.598	16.154	64.97
4a. Total number of beneficiaries of Other Protective Services, nBA _{Other}		440.330	479.479	471.498	527.213

Budget Allocation to social protection Emergency Food Supplies was obtained at Institute of Disaster Management, While, Budget Allocation to social protection Other protective services was obtained at Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Action.

Budget Allocation to social protection Cash Transfers for food and cash reserves was obtained through World Food Programme.

Food	What	
securi	major	To reverse the current situation and achieve the targets in the Food and Nutrition and Nutrition and
y and	action	Chronic Malnutrition indicators advocated in the Government's Five Year Program (2015-2019), a number
Vutriti	was	of strategic actions are ongoing at the level of coordination and implementation, in a holistic and
n	underta	multisectoral process, namely:
<u>Targe</u>	ken in	i. Improve the insertion and budgeting of nutrition-sensitive interventions in the sectoral Economic and
<u>t:</u>	the last	Social Plan (PES). This aspect has been improving in recent years, with technical meetings with government
Bring	two	sectors in the planning of actions to be included in sectoral PES, for example: Ministry of Agriculture and
down	years	Food Security; Ministry of Health; Ministry of Industry and Commerce; Ministry of Education and Human
child	(or	Development; Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Action, Ministry of Land, Rural Development
stuntin	since	Environment, and Ministry of Public Works, Housing and Water Resources;
g to	the last	ii. Promote the production and consumption of foods of high nutritional value at the community level,
10%, by the	BR	prioritizing the use and use of locally produced products.
vear	report)	iii. Prioritize geographic areas: populations with high population density and higher rates of malnutrition;
2025.	to help	iv. Focus on interventions under the most vulnerable: window of the first 1000 days of life + rural areas;
	acheive	v. Ensure that all defined actions are implemented in an efficient manner: in the same place, at the same
<u>Indic</u>	this	time, for a minimum period (> 3 years), with minimum coverage (≥80%) and quality.
<u>ator:</u>	target?	This strategic action is based on the Multi-Sectoral Action Plan for the Reduction of Chronic Malnutrition in
Prevale	ACTION	Mozambique 2011-2014 (2020).
nce of	3.5i	
stuntin g (<mark>St</mark>)		

Parameter/Sub-parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
1. Percent of children 0-59 month of age that is stunted(St)	43%	43.1%	43.1%	43.1%	43.1%
1a. Total number of children 0-59 months of age (T)	4 325 645	4 411 096	4 488 579	4 557 840	4 622 215
1b. Number of children 0-59 months of age that are stunted (S)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
2. Percent of male children 0-59 month of age that is stunted (Hm)		Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
2a. Total number of male children 0-59 months of age (Tm)	number	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available

2b. Number of male children 0-59 months of age that are stunted (Sm)	number	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
3. Percent of female children 0-59 month of age that is stunted (Hw)					
3a. Total number of female children 0-59 months of age (Tf)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
3b. Number of female children 0-59 months of age that are stunted (Sf)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available

Data were extracted from the Technical Secretariat of Food and Nutrition Security (SETSAN) through the 2011 2011, SETSAN 2013 and IOF 2015 baseline for the year 2011 food security, the next survey will be conducted in 2019 and the PAMRDC (2011- 2020).

<u>PC 3.5ii</u>		
Food	What	Recognizing the complexity and complementarity of the interventions of the different sectors in reducing Chronic
securit	major	Malnutrition, the Government approved the Multi-Sectoral Action Plan for Chronic Malnutrition Reduction (PAMRDC,
y and	action	2011-2015), which aims to reduce levels of malnutrition Chronic from 44% in 2010 to 30% in 2015 and 20% in 2020, a
Nutriti	was	target adjusted by the Government to 35% by the end of this Five Year Program (2015-2019). In the context of the
on	undert	implementation of the PAMRDC, the following actions are carried out by the Government, civil society, private sector and
_	aken in	academia:
<u>Targe</u>	the last	The Government, through various sectors, has promoted the de-worming of children and adolescents, prevention of
<u>t:</u>	two	early pregnancy, prenatal care, postpartum vitamin A supplementation, family planning, complementary feeding,
Bring down	years	production and consumption of nutritious foods, social protection of vulnerable groups, hygiene education and
under	(or	environmental sanitation, including the use of water from safe sources Sub-
weight	since	In 2013, the Civil Society Platform for Nutrition was established within the framework of the "Expanding Nutrition"
to 5%	the last	movement, with the objective of supporting the implementation and monitoring of PAMRDC, and advocating effectively
or less,	BR	for the adequate allocation of resources to the activities at different levels (community, district, provincial and national
by the	report)	levels). The platform launched in 2016 the advocacy campaign (Nutrition Generation), with the aim of ensuring greater
year	to help	ownership and accountability of policy makers in relation to chronic malnutrition, placing nutrition as a key factor for
2025.	acheiv	well-being, income and development of the child, the family, the community and the country.
<u>Indica</u>	e this	The Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN) as a development partner in the nutrition sector mobilizes public-
tor:	target?	private partnerships and provides financial and technical support to improve access to nutritious food for people and
Prevale	ACTIO	communities most vulnerable to malnutrition. The organization promotes financing and technical assistance to small,
nce of	N _{3.5ii}	medium and medium-sized businesses and large businesses, which directly contribute to improving the availability,

erw t	accessibility and accessibility of nutri universal salt iodization. The academy has contributed in the training courses have been carried Methodology, which increases the nutritionists in the National Health says that the country's need is 9.2 nutritionists per 100,000 inhabitant work to be done in the training com	e training of out all over number of p System has gr nutritionists s and with sor	nutrition techni the country, su eople trained i own from 427 i per 100,000 inł me differences l	cians. In add ch as the tra n nutrition is n 2016 to 54 nabitants and between pro	lition to form aining on Fo sues. Howe 2 in 2017, th 1 at the more vinces. This	mal training, several n od Management Ass ver, although the nu ne Ministry of Health ment the data show
	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	1. Percent of children 0-59 month of age that is Underweight (Uw)	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%	0.7%	0.6%
	1a. Total number of children 0-59 months of age (T)	4 325 645	4 411 096	4 488 579	4 557 840	622. 215
	1b. Number of children 0-59 months of age that are Underweight (U)		Not available number	Not available	Not available	Not available
	2. Percent of male children 0-59 month of age that is Underweight (Hum)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
-	2a. Total number of male children 0-59 months of age (Tm)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
-	2b. Number of male children 0-59 months of age that are Underweight (Um)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
	3. Percent of female children 0-59 month of age that is Underweight (Huf)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
-	3a. Total number of female children 0-59 months of age (Tf)					
	3b. Number of female children 0-59 months of age that are stunted (Uf)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available

	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2014	2015	201	.6 20)17	2018			
-	Prevalence of underweight (% of children	Not	Not	No		%	7%			
	under 5 years old), Uw	available	available	availa	-					
	 Sources of verification and other specific comments: these data were extracted from the Technical Secretariat of Food and Nutrition Security (SETSAN) through the 2011, SETSAN 2013 and IOF 2015 baseline for the year 2011 food security, the next survey will be done in 2019 and the PAN (2011 -2020). 									
-	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION3.5 Actions taken to reduce wasting are reflected in the Multisectoral Plan for the Reduction of Chronic Malnutrition (PAMRDC, 2011-2020).									
	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017		2018			
-	1. Percent of children 0-59 month of age that wasted (W)	t is 7%	7%	7%	7%	7%				
	1a. Total number of children 0-59 months age (T)	of 4 325 645	4 411 096	4 488 579	4 557 840		622. 215			
	1b. Number of children 0-59 months of age that are wasted (W1)	e availabl e	Not availabl e	Not available	Not available	Not a	vailable			
	2. Percent of male children 0-59 month of age that is wasted (Hwm)	Not availabl e	Not availabl e	Not available	Not available	Not a	vailable			
_	2a. Total number of male children 0-59 months of age (Tm)									
	2b. Number of male children 0-59 months age that are wasted (Wm)	of availabl e	Not availabl e	Not available	Not available	Not a	vailable			
_	3. Percent of female children 0-59 month of a that is wasted (Hwf)	ge								

			Not	Not	Not	Not	Not availab	le
	3a. Total number of female c	hildren 0-59	availabl	availabl	available	available		
	months of age (Tf)		e	e				
			e	e				
			Not	Not	Not	Not	Not availab	0
	3b. Number of female childre						NOT available	
		en 0-59 months	availabl	availabl	available	available		
	of age that are wasted (Wf)		e	е				
	Parameter/Sub-parameter		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
			Not	Not	Not			
	Prevalence of wasting (% of chil	dren under 5	availabl	available	available			
	old), W		e			7%	7%	
	Sources of verification and	other specifi	c comment	s : This data	was extrated	from the Te	chnical Secre	tariat for Food and
	Nutrition Security (SETSAN)							
		5	<i>,</i>		5	,	5	
<u>PC 3.5iv</u>								
Food	What major action was undertal	ken in the						
securit	last two years (or since the last E							Iltisectoral Plan for
y and	to help acheive this target? ACT	• •	th	ne Reduction	of Chronic M	alnutrition (P	AMRDC, 2011	2020)
Nutriti	to help acheive this target: Ach							
on								
	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		
<u>Targe</u>	1. Proportion of the	29.8	2013	2010	30.5		0.5	
<u>t:</u>	population that is	25.0			50.5		0.5	
Bring	undernourished (% of the		30.4	30.5				
down	-							
undern	country's population), U						~	
ourish		25,041,922	25,727,9	26,423,62	27,128,530	27,843,93	3	
ment	1a. Total population (T)		11	3				
to 5% or less,								
by the								
year	1b. Number of people	Not	Not	Not	Not	Not A	vailable	
2025.	undernourished (Sf)	Available	Available	Available	Available			

Indica tor: Proport ion of the populat ion that is undern ourishe d (U)		cation and other specific com m/atlas/Mozambique/Preva		<u>ernourishment</u>						
<u>PC 3.5v</u>										
Food securit y and Nutriti on <u>Targe</u> <u>t:</u> Increas e the	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{3.5v}	Mozambique has developed Chronic Malnutrition in Mo malnutrition in children aged cent in 2020. In order to achi women, especially during pre programs related to women's	ozambique (P d 0-5, from 43 eve this objec egnancy. To th	AMRDC 2011-20 9 percent (IDS 202 2 tive, the plan inc his end, the Minis	020), which aim 11) to 30 per ce ludes programs stry of Health is	ns to rec nt in 201 to improv implemer	duce chronic 5 and 20 per ve the diet of nting specific			
propor tion of										
women	Parameter/Sub-pa	arameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018			
at reprod uctive age	1. Proportion of minimum Dietary Diversity- Women, MDDW		Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Availa ble	Not Available			
that attain the	1a. Total numbe age(15-49 yrs), V	er of women at reproductive WT	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Availa ble	Not Available			
minim um dietary diversit	(15-49 yrs) that a	Ib. Number of women at reproductive age Not Not Available Not Available (15-49 yrs) that attain the minimum dietary Available Available Availa diversity, WMDDW ble ble								
y by 50%, by the year 2025.	 Sources of v 									

Indica tor: Increas e rate of the proport ion of Minimu m Dietary Diversit y- Women (ţMDD W)						
Food	M/hat maior action was undertaken in the				red the N	Autienstand Action Dian for the
securit	-	•	•	• •		Aultisectoral Action Plan for the
y and					•	(PAMRDC 2011-2020), which aims
y anu Nutriti					•	from 43 percent (IDS 2011) to 30 for this objective to be achieved,
on			•			of children aged 6-23 months. To
SAU		•	-	•		specific programs related to the
DE			•	•	-	inistration of food that ensures
		-	•	•		the promotion of breastfeeding,
Е	hav	ving opened the	first breast r	nilk bank in	the centr	ral hospital from Maputo.
SET						
SAN	Parameter/Sub-parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Tanaa	1. Percent of children 0-23 month of age that	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%
<u>Targe</u> <u>t:</u>	meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet (MAD)		13/0			
Reach	1a. Total number of children 0-23 months of		Net	Not	Not	Not available
at least	age (T_1)		Not available	available	availabl e	
50% of	080 (11)					
childre n 6-23		Not available	Not	Not	Not	Not available
months	1b. Number of children 0-23 months of age		available	available	availabl	
that	that meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet(M)				e	

have the minim um	2. Percent of male children 0-23 month of age that is stunted (HmM)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	Not av	vailable
accepta ble diet by the year	2a. Total number of male children 0-23 months of age (Tm ₁)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	Not av	<i>v</i> ailable
2025. <u>Indica</u> <u>tor:</u>	2b. Number of male children 0-23 months of age that meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet(Mm)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	Not av	vailable
Proport ion of 6-23 months	3. Percent of female children 0-23 month of age that meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet(HwM)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	Not av	/ailable
old childre n who meet	3a. Total number of female children 0-23 months of age (Tf $_1$)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	Not av	/ailable
the Minimu m Accepta	3b. Number of female children 0-23 months of age that meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet(Mf)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not availabl e	Not av	/ailable
ble Diet							
(MAD)	Parameter	2014	2015	2016	5 2	017	2018
	Proportion of 6-23 months old children who meet the Minimum Acceptable Diet, MAD	Not available	Not available	Not availa	ble 1	.1%	11%
	 Sources of verification and other specific com 	ments: This o	lata will be a	vailable for	• next sur	vey.	
<u>PC 3.5vii</u>							
Food	What major action was undertaken in the						
security	last two years (or since the last BR report)						
and Nutrition	to help acheive this target? ACTION_{3.5vii}						
<u>Targe</u> <u>t:</u>	Parameter	2014	2015	2016	201	.7	2018

Reduce the level of food	Proportion of the population experiencing moderate or severe food insecurity	Not Availa ble	Not Available	Not Available	11%	11%
insecur e Individ uals by 50%, by the year 2025. <u>Indica</u> <u>tor:</u> Prevalence of moderate or severe food insecurity in the population based on the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES)	 Sources of verification and other specific comm In relation to proportion of the population exper We are expecting that the next survey will be do Security. The Government has just set up National Council of Minister involving several institutions whose active systems to guarantee access to food, drinking wat 	riencing one end on Food vities af	of 2019. Th l and Nutritio fect food and	is data is availab on Security, whic d nutrition secur	le at Ministr ch is led by t ity. Within t	ry of Agriculture and Food he Office of the Prime
<u>PC 3.6i</u> Food Safety	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION_{3.6i}			Enter	text	
<u>Target</u> <u>:</u>	Parameter/Sub-parameter		20 Yes o		lf No	o, comments
Operati onal	1. Existence of legal or policy and institutional frame food safety, FSSI ₁ = average (Lw _(i))	eworks (on			

and functio nal	1a. Existence of national food safety act or law updated at least in the last 10 years and covering the entire food chain Lw ₁	Yes	
food safety	1b. Existence of risk based food safety standards for at least 5 priority commodities, Lw_2	Yes	
systems that meet	1c. Existence of competent regulatory institutions with clear mandates and coordination mechanism, Lw ₃	Yes	
internat ional best	2. Quality of monitoring and surveillance programmes, $FSSI_2$ = average ($MS_{(i)}$)		
practice s establis	2a. Existence of a risk-based and coordinated food safety monitoring/surveillance plan, MS ₁	Yes	
hed (reach 1	2b. Existence of a national epidemiological database/system for food borne diseases MS_2	Yes	
00% for the Food Safety	2c. Existence of a Food Safety response system with standard operating procedure, traceability and recall systems, MS_3	Yes	
System s Index, FSSI) by	2d. Participation in reliable food safety information notification systems \ensuremath{MS}_4	Yes	
2025.	3. Laboratory infrastructure, analytical capacity and laboratory performance, $FSSI_3$ =average (Lab _(i))		
<u>Indicat</u> <u>or:</u>	3a. Existence of national assessment of in-country laboratory capacity, Lab ₁	Yes	
Food Safety Syste ms	3b. Existence of demonstrable government programmes to build, equip (including human resource) and sustain competent laboratories, Lab _{2 =} average (Elt _(i))		
Index (FSSI)	Elt 1: - Existence of National training programme for the qualification of laboratory analysts	Yes	

Elt 2: - Existence of National laboratory standards qualification/ accreditation programme	Yes	
Elt 3: - Existence of National budget to support laboratory infrastructure and instruments maintenance as well as operational and CAPEX requirements	Yes	
3c. Existence of competent laboratories (government, official or private) and their demonstrable fitness for purpose; Lab ₃ =average (Elt _(i))		
Elt 1: - Existence of Food testing laboratory (s)	Yes	
Elt 2: - Existence of Laboratory capability (infrastructure and instrumentation)	Yes	
Elt 3: -Existence of suitably trained people (Capacity) relative to the food safety regulations, (e.g at best the lab MUST be able to measure the analyte in the specific matrix as stipulated by the food law	Yes	
Elt 4: - Existence of Accredited laboratories in food analysis	Yes	
Elt 5: - Participation in an accredited Proficiency Test Scheme and inter-laboratory comparison programmes	Yes	
3d. Existence of national capacity building programmes on GAP and GMP, Prog ₁ =average (Elt _(i))		
Elt 1: Existence of Cacpcity building on GAP	Yes	
Elt 2: Existence of capacity building on GMP	Yes	
Elt 3: Existence of Capacity buiding on GHP	Yes	
4. Existence of programmes to facilitate/encourage compliance to food safety standards, FSSI ₄ =average (Prog _(i))		
4a. Existence of national capacity building programmes in HACCP; Prog ₂	Yes	

	4b. Existence of national food safety awarer programmes/activities; Prog ₃₌ Average (Elt _(i))	-				
	Elt 1: Workshops		Yes			
	Elt 2: Television/Radio programmes		Yes			
	Elt 3: National Campaigns		Yes			
	Elt 4: Billboards		Yes			
	4c. Existence of national support/incentive for producers (including private sector/SMEs), P	Yes				
	 Sources of verification and other specific com The information was collected at Ministry of H on Food Security and other specific programs as policies and strategies aimed at promoting 	lealth. The coun to promote fooc	l security (sc	hool feeding	program, socia	I protection program) as
	and water.					
3.6 ii	and water.					
<u>3.6ii</u>		e National Coun	cil for Food	and Nutrition	Security throu	igh the Ministry of Healt
Food	What major action was undertaken in the Th				•	igh the Ministry of Healt s and in particular for
Food	What major action was undertaken in the Th last two years (or since the last BR report) im	plementing sev	eral public l	health promo	otion program	s and in particular for
Food Safety	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION3.6 Th	plementing sev	eral public l neal diseases	health prome such as lectu	otion programs ares on water of	
Food Safety <u>Target</u>	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION3.6 Th	plementing sev duction of diarrh	eral public l neal diseases	health prome such as lectu	otion programs ares on water of	s and in particular for
Food Safety <u>Target</u>	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION3.6 Th	plementing sev duction of diarrh	eral public l neal diseases	health prome such as lectu	otion programs ares on water of	s and in particular for
3.6ii Food Safety Target : Reach at least	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) im to help acheive this target? ACTION3.600 records	plementing sev duction of diarrh well as individua	eral public l neal diseases al hygiene to	health promo such as lectu avoid diarrh	otion programs ares on water o eal diseases.	s and in particular for quality and water treatm
Food Safety <u>Target</u> <u>:</u> Reach	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) im to help acheive this target? ACTION3.600 records as Parameter/Sub-parameter	plementing sev duction of diarrh well as individua	eral public l neal diseases al hygiene to	health promo such as lectu avoid diarrh	otion programs ares on water o eal diseases.	s and in particular for quality and water treatm
Food Safety <u>Target</u> <u>:</u> Reach at least	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) im to help acheive this target? ACTION3.6ii The acheive this target? Parameter/Sub-parameter as 1. Rate of reduction of foodborne diarrheal	plementing sev duction of diarrh well as individua	eral public l neal diseases al hygiene to	health promo such as lectu avoid diarrh	otion programs ares on water o eal diseases.	s and in particular for quality and water treatm
Food Safety Target : Reach at least 50% for	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) im red to help acheive this target? ACTION3.600 red as The second s	plementing sev duction of diarrh well as individua 2014	eral public l neal diseases al hygiene to	health promo such as lectu avoid diarrh	otion programs ares on water o eal diseases.	s and in particular for quality and water treatm
Food Safety Target : Reach at least 50% for the Food Safety Health	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) im red to help acheive this target? ACTION3.600 red as The second s	plementing sev duction of diarrh well as individua	eral public l neal diseases al hygiene to 2015	health promo such as lectu avoid diarrho 2016	2017	2018
Food Safety Target : Reach at least 50% for the Food Safety	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) im red to help acheive this target? ACTION3.600 red as The second s	plementing sev duction of diarrh well as individua 2014 25,041,922	eral public heal diseases al hygiene to 2015 25,727,9	26,423,62	2017	2018

Indicat						
<u>or:</u>						
Food Safety Health Index (FSHI)	Number of Food Borne Diarrheal Diseases per 100,000 people per year(FBDD _t) FBDD _t = (NCDDi x Mai x Mui)*100/TP _i (Multiplier to correct for attribution to foodborne causes, Ma _i = 0.4 (Correction factor is provided based on current estimates) (Multiplier to correct for underreporting,Mu _i =to be provided)					
	2. Rate of reduction of deaths in children under five years of age due to foodborne diarrheal diseases,FSHI ₂ = (FBDM _t - FBDM ₂₀₁₅)*100/ FBDM ₂₀₁₅					
	2.a Total population of children in a given year TPCi	4,325,645	4,411,09 6	4,488,579	4,557,840	4,622,215
	2.b Number of cases of diarrheal mortality per year in children under 5, NCDM _i	374	450	383	199	157
	Number of foodborne diarrheal mortality per 100,000 children less than 5 years per year (FBDMt) FBDMt = (NCDM _i × Ma _i × Mu _i)*100/TPC _i (Multiplier to correct for attribution to foodborne causes, Ma _i =0.4 (Correction factor is provided based on current estimates) (Multiplier to correct for underreporting, Mu _i = to be provided) 3. Rate of reduction of the cases of liver cancer caused by dietary exposure to aflatoxin, FSHI ₃ = (FBHCCt - FBHCC ₂₀₁₅)*100 /FBHCC ₂₀₁₅					

	<i>3.a Number of Liver Cancer cases per year NHCC</i> ⁱ available	Not available	Not available			Not available
	Number of Foodborne HCC cases per year per 100,000 people, $(FBHCC_t)$ $FBHCC_t = (NHCC_i \times Mai \times Mu_i)/TP_i$ (Multiplier to correct attribution of liver cancer cases due to exposure to aflatoxin, $Ma_i = 0.33$ (correction factor is provided based on current estimates) (Multiplier to correct for underreporting), $Mu_i =$ to be provided					
2.67	Sources of verification and other specific comments: These data	atas are avai	lable at Minis	try of Healt	h.	
<u>3.6iii</u>	What mains action was undertaken in the Negarahimus has	hoon signi	un tundo on			l trada facilitation
		-				l trade facilitation
Food	last two years (or since the last BR report) countries. One of	the agreeme	ents (the SAD	C agreemei	nt) is in plac	e and Mozambique
	last two years (or since the last BR report) countries. One of	the agreeme	ents (the SAD	C agreemei	nt) is in plac	e and Mozambiqu
Food	last two years (or since the last BR report) countries. One of	the agreeme	ents (the SAD	C agreemei	nt) is in plac	e and Mozambiqu
Food Safety <u>Target</u> <u>:</u>	last two years (or since the last BR report) countries. One of	the agreeme	ents (the SAD	C agreemei	nt) is in plac	e and Mozambiqu
Food Safety Target : Reach at least 50% for	last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION3.6iiicountries. One of is benefiting from	the agreeme imports and	ents (the SAD exports of pr	C agreemen oducts free	nt) is in plac of aggravat	e and Mozambique ed taxes
Food Safety Target : Reach at least 50% for the Food Safety	last two years (or since the last BR report) countries. One of to help acheive this target? ACTION3.6iii is benefiting from Parameter/Sub-parameter 1.Number of shipments of food commodities exported per	the agreeme imports and 2014	ents (the SAD exports of pr 2015	C agreemen oducts free 2016	nt) is in plac of aggravat 2017	e and Mozambique ed taxes 2018
Food Safety Target : Reach at least 50% for the Food	last two years (or since the last BR report) countries. One of is benefiting from to help acheive this target? ACTION3.6iii countries. One of is benefiting from Parameter/Sub-parameter 1.Number of shipments of food commodities exported per yearTNS; 2. Number of rejections based food safety related trade	the agreeme imports and 2014	ents (the SAD exports of pr 2015	C agreemen oducts free 2016	nt) is in plac of aggravat 2017	e and Mozambiqu ed taxes 2018
Food Safety Target : Reach at least 50% for the Food Safety Trade Index (last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION3.6iiicountries. One of is benefiting fromParameter/Sub-parameterImage: Countries of food commodities exported per yearTNS;2. Number of rejections based food safety related trade violations in exported food commodities per yearTRt = $\sum (TR_{V(i)})$	the agreeme imports and 2014	ents (the SAD exports of pr 2015	C agreemen oducts free 2016	nt) is in plac of aggravat 2017	e and Mozambiqu ed taxes 2018

<u>or:</u>							
Food	2.d Other violations/rejections TR _{V4}						
Safety Trade Index (3. Total Rate of rejection per year, $TRR_t = \sum_{t=1}^{t} (I_{t})^{t}$	RR _{V(i)})					
FSTI)	3.a Rate of Rejection for violation type 1 RR _{v1} =TR _{v1} *100/TNS		0	1	0	1	2
	3.b Rate of Rejection for violation type 2 $RR_{V2}=TR_{V2}*100/TNS$	0	0	2	5	7	
	3.c Rate of Rejection for violation type 3 RR _{V3} =TR _{V3} *100/TNS						
	3.d Rate of Rejection for violation type 4 RR _{v4} =TR _{v4} *100/TNS						
	4. Rate of reduction in rejection of food com food safety violation (non-compliance) on th disaggregated by type of violation, <i>FST</i> = <i>TR</i> <i>TRR</i> ₂₀₁₅ *100/TRR ₂₀₁₅	ne basis of data					
	 Sources of verification and other specific of collecting this type of information for different specific different		itas were only	collected a	it DINAS wh	ile there ar	re several sectors
<u>PC 4.1i</u>							
Agricul tural GDP and Povert y Reduct	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{4.1i}	behavior during t contribution was i slowdown, standin The National Agrar foresaw annual gr	he period d n the order g at 22%. ian Investmer owth of arou	id not cha of 23%; an nt Program und 7%, wl	ange mark id in 2016 (PNISA: 201 hile the Ma	edly. In 2 and 2017, .3-2017 and alabo Decla	3%. However, their 014 and 2015, its there was a slight d PNISA: 2018-2019) aration foresees an
ion <u>Targe</u>		agricultural perform	mance in the	period 201	l4 to 2017,	the average	ests that the current ge rate of 4.6% per s aspect requires the

Devenator			2016	2017	2019			
Parameter	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
 Agriculture value added, in constantlocal currencyunits (AgGDP) 								
1a. Agriculture value added, in currentlocal currencyunits (AgGDP _{cu}) 10^6	123 303	125 029	128 237	132.945,9	136.974,4	142.765,6	148.419	152.89
1b. AgGDP deflator (AgGDP _{def})	Not available	5,9	1,3	6,8	6,8	12,9	17,7	0,4
3. Annual growth rate of Agriculture value added, in % (tAgGDP)								
 1c. AgGDP deflator base year (AgGDP_{def-year}) Sources of verificatio Agriculture value add 	-			by INE, it is a	available on: <u>wy</u>	<u>ww.ine.org.mz</u>		

<u>PC 4.1ii</u>	l	
Agricul	What major action was undertaken in the	The reduction of poverty is the periority of the government of Mozambique and for
tural	last two years (or since the last BR report)	this the government developed the national development strategy with a time
GDP	to help acheive this target? ACTION _{4.1ii}	horizon from 2015 to 2035. This strategy focuses on reducing poverty through the
and		development of human capital, infrastructure, agriculture, inestigation and
Povert		innovation and organization, coordination and institutional articulation. This strategy
у		has been used as a basis for the design of development plans such as the economic
Reduct		and social plan. As a result of actions leading to poverty reduction, its insidiousness
ion		
		has fallen from 50% (46%) by 2015.
<u>Targe</u>		
<u>t:</u>		
Ensure		
that	 Sources of verification and other specifi 	c comments:
agricult		
ure		
growth		
contrib		
ute to		
at least		
50% to		
the		
overall		
povert		
У		
reducti		
on target		
target, from		
the		
year		
2015		
to the		
year		
2025.		
<u>Stand-</u>		
<u>by for</u>		
<u>more</u>		
<u>resear</u>		
<u>ch</u>		

PC 4.1iii Agricul tural GDP and Povert	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{4.1iii}	The government has encouraged private sector participation in agriculture and the development of value chains to improve competitiveness and increase GDP in the agricultural sector. The government identified development co-ordinators and the main value chains to be developed in the operational plan for the development of the agricultural sector. These initiatives have been increased with the facilitation of
y Reduct ion		licensing of private operators in the agricultural sector and reduction of import taxes of agricultural inputs in addition to reduction of rates of lectricity and diesel for agrarian activities.
<u>Targe</u>		

Parameter	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	- 2016	2017	2018
	Not	Not	Not	2014	2013			
1. Population size (Pops)	available	available	available	25,041,922	25,727,911	26,423,623	27,909,778	28,747,0
2. Poverty national poverty line (NPL)	2138	2138	2138	1860	1860	1860	1860	Not available
3. Number of people under the poverty line (NPoor)	number	Not available	Not available	Not available	11.834.839	Not available	Not available	Not available
4. Poverty headcount ratio at national poverty lines (% of population), (phrN)	51.7	51.7	51.7	46.1	46 .1	46.1	46.1	

data on poverty (poverty lines and insidence from poverty) come from poverty studies conducted by the Ministry of poverty Economy and Finances. It should be noted that poverty studies are carried out every five years. The first evaluation was in headco 1996/1997, the second in 2002/2003, the third in 2008/2009 and the fourth in 2014/2015. Population data for 2018 were projected assuming an annual growth rate of 3%. ratio, at nationa

unt

What major action wa last two years (or sinc to help acheive this ta	e the last BR	report) N _{4.1iv}	n order to security, inc guarantee so market and	crease farme	ers' income nder equity,	in a compe 317 young p	etitive and s eople, 65 wo	sustainable
Parameter	Baseline Value 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015			2015	2016	2017	2018	
1. Population size (Pops)	23,049,6 21	23,700,7 15	24,366,1 12	25,041,922	25,727,911	26,423,623	27,909,778	28,747,071
2. Poverty international poverty line (INPL)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	Not Available
3. Number of people under the poverty line (NPoor)	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	11.834.8 39	11.834.8 39	11.834.8 39	Not Available
4. Poverty headcount ratio at international poverty lines (% of population), phrl					1.25	1.90	1.90	1.90

Reducti on rate of poverty headco unt ratio, at internat ional poverty line, (dpovl)	 Sources of verification and Specific composition numbers come from lines) come from poverty studies conducte every five years. The first evaluation was 2014/2015. The population data for 2018 	the Nati ed by the in 1996	e Ministry of Eco /1997, the seco	onomy and Finan nd in 2002/2003	ce. Note that pover , the third in 2008	rty studies are conducted				
<u>PC 4.1v</u>										
Agricul tural GDP and Povert y Reduct ion	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{4.1v}									
<u>Targe</u>	Parameter		2015	2016	2017	2018				
<u>t:</u> Contrib ute to	1. Farm gate price, FgPi (i=1,2,3,4,5)									
povert	1a. Commidity 1: Beans		37.41	55.51	49.14	46.84				
У	1b. Commidity 2: Small Peanuts		39.13	60.93	57.87	47.80				
reducti	1c. Commidity 3: Big Peanuts		39.14	59.45	49.49	39.83				
on by reducin	1d. Commidity 4: cowpea		19.15	37.80	19.83	18.64				
g the	1e. Commidity 5: Maize		9.00	18.37	9.40	8.32				
gap betwee	2. Farm gate sale, FgSi (i=1,2,3,4,5)									
n the	2a. Commidity 1: name		37,41	55,50	49,14	46,84				
wholes	2b. Commidity 2: name		39,13	60,93	57,87	47,80				
ale price	2c. Commidity 3: name		39,14	59,45	49,49	39,83				
and	2d. Commidity 4: name		19,15	37,80	19,83	18,64				
farm-	2e. Commidity 5: name		9,00	18,37	9,40	8,32				
gate										

e, 0% 1e	3. Average weighted farm gate price, wFgP				
5,	1a. Commidity 1: Beans				
,	1b. Commidity 2: Small Peanuts	T		T	
i.	1c. Commidity 3: Big Peanuts				
<u>:a</u>	1d. Commidity 4: cowpea				
cti te	1e. Commidity 5: Maize				
2	4. Wholesale/Market Price, WsPi	42.00	65.60	57.04	52.39
ee	1a. Commidity 1: Beans	45.87	82.97	71.62	55.28
es	1b. Commidity 2: Small Peanuts	45.46	75.36	68.65	52.63
gat	1c. Commidity 3: Big Peanuts	25.68	36.50	30.11	27.29
xe (s)	1d. Commidity 4: cowpea	11.59	23.81	17.05	13.01
	1e. Commidity 5: Maize				
	5. Average weighted Wholesale/Market Price, wWsP	42,57	65,60	57,04	52,39
	1a. Commidity 1: Beans	45,87	82,97	71,62	55,28
	1b. Commidity 2: Small Peanuts	45,46	75,36	68,65	52,63
	1c. Commidity 3: Big Peanuts	25,68	36,50	30,11	27,29
	1d. Commidity 4: cowpea	11,59	23,81	17,05	13,01
	1e. Commidity 5: Maize	42.00	65.60	57.04	52.39

	Sources of year	rification and other specific	commenterdat	a extracted fi	rom SIMA at 1	Ministry of A	griculture and	Food Security at
	- Sources of ver	1	comments:uat	a extracted fi	I UIII SIMA at I	winnsu'y of Ag	gi icuitui e anc	i roou security at
	<u></u>	<u>V.IIIZ</u>						
<u>PC 4.2</u>								
Inclusi	What major acti	on was undertaken in the	The public-priv	ate partnersl	hips (PPPs) a	re promoted	by the gover	nment and as a
ve	last two years (o	r since the last BR report)	•	•		•		yze PPPs in the
PPPs		this target? ACTION _{4.2}		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-		2017 and 2018,
for		3	30 and 28 agra					
commo			0	•	•	,		
dity								
value	Commodities		1	2	3	4	5	6
chains								
Tanao	1. Priority comm	odity value chains, list {PC _i }						
<u>Targe</u>								
<u>t:</u> Establi								
sh	Commodity	Parameter			2015	2016	2017	2018
and/or	commounty		fourthe contents.		2015	2010	2017	2010
strengt	Cattan	2a. Total volume of trade	for the priority	commodity	15655	15170	12046	1 4 4 0 2
hen	Cotton	i, V _{Ti}			15655	15179	13946	14492
inclusi		2b. Volume of trade betw						~~~~~
ve public-		target buyers of the the p		•	45850	42641	35963	65697
public- private		3a. Number of smallholde	•	to the value				
partner		chain of the priority comr	nodity i, N _{smhi}		160000	144864	170094	
ships								
(PPP)		3b. Total suppliers that a						
for at		the value chain of the price	ority commodity	/ i, NT _i	9	9	9	9
least								
five (5)	Sugar	2a. Total volume of trade	for the priority	commodity	3084487	294357	362947	346211
priorit		i, V _{Ti}						
y agricult		2b. Volume of trade betw	een smallholde	rs and	349338	2761504	2943497	3138880
ural		target buyers of the the p	riority commod	ity i, V _{smhi}				
commo		3a. Number of smallholde	un testa munta al te					

lity		chain of the priority commodity i, N _{smhi}				
value chains vith –		3b. Total suppliers that are supplying the market of the value chain of the priority commodity i, NT _i	4	4	4	4
trong inkage	Rice	2a. Total volume of trade for the priority commodity i, V_{Ti}	9000	4500	6600	16000
o mallh		2b. Volume of trade between smallholders and target buyers of the the priority commodity i, V _{smbi}	940	2500	2800	4600
ler ricult		3a. Number of smallholders integrated into the value chain of the priority commodity i, N _{smhi}	60	193	240	293
e, by 25.		3b. Total suppliers that are supplying the market of the value chain of the priority commodity i, NT _i				
dica	Chicken	2a. Total volume of trade for the priority commodity i, V_{Ti}	75.161,2	75.769,3	80.957	97.881,7
nbe		2b. Volume of trade between smallholders and target buyers of the the priority commodity i, V _{smbi}	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not Available
rity		3a. Number of smallholders integrated into the value chain of the priority commodity i, N _{smhi}	Not Available	Not available	Not available	Not Available
icult I nmo _		3b. Total suppliers that are supplying the market of the value chain of the priority commodity i, NT _i	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
ains F c icha In	For rice the data of the control from the Control from the Control from the Control for the co	fication and other specific comments: comes from the Lower Limpopo Regadian. The suga otton Institute of Mozambique. volume of trade for Chicken, these datas were prove ure other variables such number of smallholders inte	ided by Natio	onal Director	ate of Vetina	ry but the curren

What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{4.3}	The inclusion of you Mozambican governm in young people exist finance young graduat	nent. There are s in the Ministry o	specific programs of Youth and Spor	to promote entrepre rts. Gapi also has a pr
Parameter/Sub-parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018
1. Total number of youth at working age in t country, TN _{Ythi}	he Not Available number	Not available	8.694.974	8.955.823
2. Number of youth that is engaged in new jo in agricultural value chains, (cumulative counting from the year 2015), AgNYth	obs			
2a. Number of youth who do any agricult related work as paid employees for any agriculture entreprise or SME (AgNythE)	ure Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
2b. Number of youth who work as self- employed in their own business or profes or on their own farm (AgN _{yth} SE)	sion 4,428,863	4,350,856	4,272,848	Not available number
2c. Number of youth who work 15 hours week or more as unpaid workers in a fam operated enterprise (AgN _{yth} FE)		Not available	Not available	Not available
 Sources of verification and other sp Data on the total number of young p of young people involved in agricult Agriculture survey and therefore this 	eople of working age ure comes from the A	griculture surve	y. Note that in 2	

th)						
4.4						
	What major action was undertaken in the		re the most involved in	•	•	
	ast two years (or since the last BR report) o help acheive this target? ACTION _{4.4}	•	entation of several pro projects highlight SUST	-		•
ation		-	omote women's partic			
gricul						
ire	Parameter		2015	2016	2017	2018
<u>rarge</u>	1. Total number of women engaged in a	agriculture. Ntw	5.143.306	5.032.072	4.920.837	4.803.44
nsure						
hat 0% of ural	 Number of women that have: a) Inpu decisions and b) Autonomy in producti 	•	Not available	Not Available	Not available	Not available
vomen ave ccess	 Number of women that have: a)Own b)Purchase, sale or transfer of assets, c decisions about credit (NDE₂) 		32,992	51,072	62,118	48,752
o oroduc ive	4. Number of women that have Contro (NDE ₃)	over use of income	1,175,148	1,100,983	1,026,817	962,85
ssets, ncludi g	5. Number of women that have: a) Gro Speaking in public (NDE4)	up member and b)	163,271	159,740	156,209	152,482
and, redit, 1puts	6. Number of women that have: a) Wor Leisure (NDE ₅)	kload and b)	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available
nd nanci	7. Number of women empowered in ag (NDE1, NDE2, NDE3, NDE4, NDE5)	riculture, NwE= f				

inform	the number o	f women who have access to financial services (mobile accounts). The number of women who have income
ation		sponds to the number of farms that are led by women. These data including the number of women in a group
(empo		I (Agriculture Survey)
wered)		(Agriculture Survey)
by		
2023.		
2025.		
<u>Indica</u>		
<u>tor:</u>		
Proport		
ion of		
rural		
women		
that are		
empow		
ered in		
agricult		
ure,		
(ţWE)		
(500)		
<u>PC 5.1</u>		
Intra-	What major	 Visa Facilitation Agreement between the Republic of Mozambique and the Republic of
African	action was	Angola. This memorandum was signed in February 2016 and has as its main object the
Trade	undertaken in	granting of visas to citizens of both countries within five (5) working days of the date of
in	the last two	the request and with a stay of up to a maximum of ninety (90 days)
agricul		the request and with a stay of up to a maximum of milety (so days)
ture	years (or	Added to the Miss Must as Assessed to Distance in Casta and Outlines
	since the last	- Addendum to the Visa Waiver Agreement on Diplomatic, Service and Ordinary
commo	BR report) to	Passports between the Republic of Mozambique and the Republic of Tanzania. This
dities	help acheive	agreement was signed in May 2015 and grants the citizens of the parties the right to
and	this target?	enter and remain in the territory of each of the parties, exempt from visas, for a period
service	ACTION _{5.1}	of up to 90 days from the previous period of 30 days.
S	ACTION 5.1	or up to 50 days from the previous period of 50 days.
<u>Targe</u>		
<u>t:</u>		 Between Mozambique and South Africa on extending the period of stay of citizens of
		the two countries, from the current 30 days to 90. Visa Waiver Agreement in Ordinary
Triple		Passports. In view of the process for an agreement b
intra-		
African		Degree No. 2/2017 has been enproved. This Degree smeands two esticles remain
trade		Decree No. 3/2017 has been approved. This Decree amends two articles, namely
in		Decree 108/2014, of December 31, and the Regulation of Law 5/93 of December 28,
agricult		concerning the visa of frontier that becomes issued without the additional 25% for
ural		citizens from Countries where we do not have Embassy and anyone can obtain this visa

mo s	as long as it meets the requirements.									
2	- Mozambique, signed th and Congo. Whereas agreements with Diplo from the SADC Region Namibia, Seychelles, Sw countries, Mozambiqu Verde, Guinea Bissau an	in terms of visomatic and Ordir matic and Ordir , namely: South waziland , Tanzar e has signed ag	sas, Mozambique hary passports wi Africa, Botswana hia, Zambia and Z greements with f	e has entered i th 15 countries, , Lesotho, Malav imbabwe. And v	nto visa-free including 11 wi, Mauritius, with the CPLP					
Parame	stor			Curropcy						
	eter It the trade value reporting currency			Currency						
Parame	eter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018				
	alue of intra-African imports of cure goods, in current [CURRENCY]	Not available	13.266.630.000	12.132.514.000	15.277.921.000	17.654.663.000				
	alue of intra-African imports of cure services, in current [CURRENCY]	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available				
	alue of intra-African exports of sure goods, in current [CURRENCY]	Not available	2.255.168.000,0 0	2.472.869.000,0 0	3.977.877.000	4.562.236.000				
	alue of intra-African exports of cure services, in current [CURRENCY]	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available				

			2010				
2. Unit value of agriculture goods and services							
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		
2.1 Unit value of imported agriculture goods and services in current [CURRENCY] per metric ton (pM)	Not available	Not available	Not availab	le Not available	Not availa		
2.2 Unit value of exported agriculture goods and services in current [CURRENCY] per metric ton (pX)	Not available	Not available	Not availab	le Not available	Not availa		
2.1a.Unit value of imported agriculture goods in [CURRENCY] per metric ton (pMg)	Not available	Not available	Not availab	le Not available	Not availa		
2.1b.Unit value of imported agriculture services in [CURRENCY]per metric ton (pMs)	Not available	Not available	Not availab	le Not available	Not availa		
2.2a.Unit value of exported agriculture goods in [CURRENCY]per metric ton (pXg)	Not available	Not available	Not availab	le Not available	Not availa		
2.2b. Unit value of exported agriculture services in [CURRENCY]per metric ton (pXs)	Not available	Not available	Not availab	le Not available	Not availa		
			·		<u>.</u>		
Parameter				2010			
3.1a.Volume of intra-African imports of agricultur	-			vailable			
3.1b.Volume of intra-African imports of agriculture services, in metric tons (qMs) Not available							
3.2a.Volume of intra-African exports of agriculture goods, in metric tons (qXg)Not available3.2b.Volume of intra-African exports of agriculture services, in metric tons (qXs)Not available							

	It is important to underline that the current information system does not capture imported and exported services from the agriculture sector as well as the quantities of products. Therefore, from 2020 onwards the system will be able to capture all datas required on this Performance Category.								
PC 5.2i									
Intra-	What major action was undertaken in t	the							
African	last two years (or since the last BR rep			Enter text					
Trade	to help acheive this target? ACTION _{5.2i}								
Policie									
s and									
institut	Parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018			
ional			ysicalinfrastructure	(PI)					
conditi	1a. Quality of roads	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.4			
ons.	1b. Quality of airports	3.3	3.2	3.4	2.5	2,267.5			
	1c. Quality of seaports	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6	2.9			
<u>Targe</u>	1d. Quality of railways	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5			
<u>t:</u> Fully	· · ·	2. Information a	nd communication te	echnology (ICT)					
establis	2a. Firm level technology absorption	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.8				
h trade	2b. FDI and technology transfer	4.6	4.2	3.9	3.8				
facilitat	2c. Availability of latest technology	4.3	3.9	3.7	3.7				
ion measur es by	2d. Government procurement of advanced technology	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available				
reachin	2e. Individuals using internet	5.4	7.8	9	17.5	17.5			
g 100%	2f. Fixed telephone lines	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3				
of	2g. Internet subscription	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1			
Trade	3. Borderadministration(BA)								
Facilita tion	3a. Number of documents to export		8	8	8	8			
Index	3b. Number of days to export		3	3	3	3			
by	3c. Costs to export								
2025.	3d. Number of documents to import		9	9	9	9			
	3e. Number of days to import		24	24	Not available	9			
<u>Indica</u>	3f. Costs to import				Not available				
<u>tor:</u>									

Trade									
Facilitat ion Index(T FI)	4. Number of countries with bilateral agricultural trade related agreements (NTA)		ural trade-relateda	12	12	12			
,		5.	. Immigration(IM)						
	5a. Number of countries with visa free entry (NVF)			15	16	16			
	5b. Number of countries with visa on arrival (VA).			39	39	39			
<u>PC 5.2ii</u>	 Sources of verification and oth (2015-2018) The Global Comp www.interior.gov.mz 								
Intra- African Trade Policie s and	What major action was undertaken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION _{5.2ii}								
institut	Parameter	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018			
ional	1.Price Indexes of Household Food Products (PF)								
conditi	1.2a. January	116.16	122.08	144.09	119.45	117.68			
ons	1.2b. February	117.11	125.73	150.15	120.43	118.44			
<u>Targe</u>	1.2c. March	119.2	125.76	153.6	119.61	118.67			
<u>t:</u>	1.2d. April	119.52	122.57	159.46	120.43	118.02			
Reduce	1.2e. May	118.54	119.79	158.01	118.58	117.83			
the	1.2f. June	117.25	118.47	158.87	115.53	116.07			
Domest	1.2g. July	117.13	117.88	160.13	113.37	114.45			
ic Food Price	1.2h. August	115.65	118.22	161.53	112.61	114.37			
Volatili	1.2i. September	115.14	118.69	166.21	112.72	114.41			
ty	1.2j. October	115.44	123.62	171.8	113.03	114.66			
Index	1.2k. November	116.27	127.35	177.41	114.32	115.35			
to less	1.2l. December	117.68	138.29	187.77	116.62	116.82			
than 7.5%									
by	Domestic Food Price Volatility Index	1.23	4.69	7.38	2.47	1.46			

2025.	(CV)							
Indica tor: Domest ic Food Price Volatilit y Index(C V)	 Sources of verification and Specific comm 	ents:data provide	ed by the Nationa	l Institute of Stati	stics (INE) - ww	w.ine.gov.mz.		
<u>C 6.1i</u> Resilie nce to climat e related risks	last two years (or since the last BR report)Ito help acheive this target?ACTION6.1iii	Climate change h Mozambique. As government has de n 2017 and is cur programs are bein other specific actic	a result of the esigned the Action rently under imp g implemented in	impact of clima n Plan for Adaptin lementation. Seve the country such	te change on a g Agriculture to (eral climate resili	igriculture, the Climate Change ient agriculture		
<u>Targe</u> <u>t:</u> Ensure	Parameter	2015	2016	2017	2018	_		
that at least 30% of farm,	1. Total number of farm, pastoral, and fisher households, NagHH	3,601,907	3,699,307	3,907,368	4,024,589	-		
pastora l, and fisher househ olds	2. Number of farm, pastoral, and fisher households that are resilient to climate variability and related risks, NRagHH	Not available	Not available	Not available	Not available	_		
are resilien t to climate and weathe r related risks, by the	yet been contemplated with an FAO-funde	nd other specific comments:A study on climate change reselience is lacking Mozambique has r rith an FAO-funded RIMA package. At the moment, We are not able to caputure this information in the process of negotiating with FAO to use RIMA in order to capture the number of farmers a						

year
2025.
<u>Indica</u>
<u>tor:</u>
Percent
age of
farm,
pastora
l, and
fisher
househ olds
that
have
improv
ed thier
resilien
ce
capacit
y to
climate
and
weathe
r
related
shocks,
(ţRAgH
hi)

<u>PC 6.1ii</u>			
Resilie	What major action was undertaken	1.	Mozambique is highly vulnerable to the effects of climate change.
nce to	in the last two years (or since the		Floods occur annually, whereas tropical and dry cyclones occur in
climat	last BR report) to help acheive this		the range of 3-4 years and therefore figure in the list of African
е	target? ACTION _{6.1ii}		countries most vulnerable to Climate Change (MC), the
related			Government of Mozambique, aware of the need to improve the
risks			adaptability and mitigation capacity, signed several environmental
			agreements and protocols. For example, it is a signatory to the
<u>Targe</u>			United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
<u>t:</u>			(UNFCCC) since 1995 and is also a signatory to the Hyogo Action
Ensure			
that at			Plan (2005-2015) and the Paris Convention (2015) on Climate
least			Change. All protocols , advocate the need for signatory countries
30% of			to adopt responsible measures that simultaneously contribute to
agricult			reducing disaster risks and reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
ural land is			
placed		2.	Following these instruments, the Government has developed
under			several activities, for example, designed the National Strategy on
sustain			Climate Change (ENMC) and reinforced the Inter-Institutional
able			Group on Climate Change (GIIMC), representing the different
land			Ministries, Private Sector and Civil Society, where eight strategic
manag			areas of intervention were identified, of which the agriculture and
ement			fisheries sectors are the most vulnerable
practic			
e.		3.	In the framework of improving resilience capacity in both sectors,
<u>Indica</u>			the following actions were carried out: (i) -implementation of the
tor:			National Forestry Program for purposes of forestry plantations for
Share			various purposes (environmental balance, recovery of degraded
of			ecosystems and mitigation of effects of climate change), (ii) -
agricult			Reinforced early warning system; (iii) - Soil conservation and
ure			nutrition programs developed; (iv) - Agricultural irrigation projects
land			developed; (v) - Promotion of aquaculture as an alternative means
under			of reducing pressure on fish; and (vi) - Regeneration of mangroves
SLM			and rehabilitation of degraded ecosystems.
practice			o ,
S			
(SSLM)		4.	In order to calculate the agricultural land is placed under
			sustainable land management (SLMWM) practice in Mozambique
			was used the Tracking Adaptation in Agricultural Sectors (TAAS). It
		L	

	b. c.	should be noted that staff from the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Safety were trained in TAAS. Therefore, for the calculation of SLMWM it was applied the use of TAAS and the results obtained indicate that: There was a slightly decrease from 2015 to 2017 from 4,7% to 3,8%, it is in terms of the level of adoption of sustainable land management practice, this may be due to the drought period that mainly affected the south of the country. In terms of awareness there has been a dissemination effort of Climate smarte agriculture (CSA) but it is not accompanied by the increase of level production areas with CSA. According to the rating given for this category Mozambique has very low level of adaptation. This means that the country must make efforts so that in the next 5 years about 30% or more of production areas might be applied CSA). The bottom line, the TAAS allow to obtain the percentage of adaptation level for agricultural production systems category.

Item	2015	2016	2017	2018
1. Agriculture area under SLWM, ASLWM	4,7%	3,8%	Not available	Not available
2. Total agriculture land, L1	5.560.000	5.560.000	5.560.000	5.560.000

• Sources of verification and other specific comments:

Implementation of the "National Forestry Program" for the purposes of forest plantations among the various purposes, environmental balance, recovery of degraded ecosystems and mitigation of the effects of climate change, climatic adversities, in general, conditioned the performance of government action, which required the Government to take measures for the consolidation of Peace, an essential condition for internal economic stability. Likewise, austerity measures and greater coordination of monetary and fiscal policies were implemented in order to regulate liquidity at the appropriate levels for the stabilization of the metical, focusing investment in key areas, in order to ensure fiscal sustainability, control and reduction of risks tax authorities.

<u>PC 6.2</u>											
Invest	What										
ment	major										
in	action										
resilie	was	Transparent Sustainable Management of Natural Resour									
nce	undert	the vulnerability of communities, the economy and infras (ii) Law no. 15/2014, of 20 of January - Establishes the la									
buildin	aken	mitigation of disaster-damaging effects, development of	0 0								
g	in the										
<u> </u>	last	(iii) National Strategy for Adaptation and Mitigation	of Climate Change ap	proved by the Government in 2013 - With this							
<u>Targe</u>	two	strategy, the Mozambican Government seeks to identif	y key areas of action t	to reduce the severity of climate change impacts							
<u>t:</u>	years	through adaptation and reduction actions climate risks.									
Create	(or	(iv) Master Plan for Disaster Risk Reduction (2017-2030)	approved by the Cove	rement in 2017. This is a multisasterial instrument							
perma	since the	that aims to consolidate mechanisms for prevention, pre									
nent	last BR										
invest	report	v) In Mozambique there is the Conventional Early Warni	ng System for floods a	nd cyclones (DNGRH and INAM, respectively) and							
ment) to	the Community-Based Early Warning System with the		3							
budget	help	(GLGRC) The community-based Early Warning System air		ties in monitoring hydrometric levels as well as in							
-lines to	acheiv	 the dissemination of alerts at the local level Among the to Alert sirens - Mounted in Gaza Province (Limpo 									
respon	e this	· · ·	• •	a (Save Basin in Govuro) and in Sofala (Machanga							
d to	target	Basin);	s of finandarie y solar								
spendi	?	 Community Sensors - Mounted in the province 	ces of Gaza (Limpopo	Basin); Zambézia (Licungo Basin); Cabo Delgado							
ng	ACTI	(Messalo Basin); Inhambane (Save Basin in Gov									
needs	ON _{6.2}										
on	-										
resilien											
Ce huildin				16.0							
buildin a	Item		2018	If No, comment							
g initiati		ence of government budget-lines on disaster	Yes								
ves,		edness policy and strategy, El _{RB1}									
especia	2. Existe	2. Existence of government budget-lines on Early									
lly for	warning and response systems and social safety nets, Yes										
disaste	EI _{RB2}										
r	3. Num	ber (proportion) of households covered by									
prepar	index insurance and/or social protection schemes, Not available										
edness	EI _{RB3}										
plans,		3a. Number of households covered by weather									
functio ning		ed index insurance or social protection	Not available								
early		mes, z,									
warnin		inco, 2,									
warmin											

g and respon	3b. Total number of vulnerable households and households at risk, Z	Not available number		
se system s, social safety nets, and weathe r-based index insuran ce, from 2015 to 2025.	 Sources of verification and other specific common Information was provided by the National Institute data are available on the proportion of families cove Disaster Management Fund is in the process of being vulnerability to Climate Change. 	ents : for Natural Disaste ered by the insuran	nce index. In the meantime, the Decree estab	titution, no olishing the
Indica tor: Existen ce of govern ment budget -lines to respon d to spendi ng needs on resilien ce buildin g				
ы initiati ves(EI _R в)				

PC 7.1 Country What major action viden ce land the last planni two mg. imple imple index to help acheive action vers (or generation generation and use agricult vers (or generation capacity Index to Generate and Use Statistical Data, rising from 65.2% in 2013 to 70.6% in 2015. This evolution is due to capacity Index to Generate and Use Statistical Data, rising from 65.2% in 2013 to 70.6% in 2015. This evolution is due to capacity Index to Generate and Use Statistical Data, rising from 65.2% in 2013 to 70.6% in 2015. This evolution is due to capacity Index to Generate and Use Statistical Data, rising from 65.2% in 2015. This evolution is due to che coordination between MASA and INE, (ii) - Integration of the information collection system in PEDSA, (iii) - Approval and Publication of Manual on Procedures for the Production of Agrarian Statistic; (iv) - Approval and Publication of the Manual on Technical Operations of National Statistics; and (v) - Approval and Publication of the Code of Conduct for the Production of Official Statistics. Targge transfer target? AcrioNy AcrioNy AcrioNy team a dister target? AcrioNy AcrioNy Statistics Capacity Index, ASCI Not available distorn ation (ASCI), by 2025. Sources of verification and other specific comments: ASCI data is published every two years and so we have it for 2015 and 2017									
the Index of capacit y to generat e and use agricult ure statistic cal data and inform ation (ASCI), by Item 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Image: Statistic capacity index, and capacity index, and capacity index, and capacity index, and capacity index, as a c	Countr y capacit y for eviden ce based planni ng, imple mentat ion and M&E <u>Targe</u> <u>t</u> : Reach	major action was underta ken in the last two years (or since the last BR report) to help acheive this target? ACTION ₇	Capacity Index to Generate an the coordination between the - Improvement of the quality between MASA and INE, (ii) - of Manual on Procedures for the Manual on Technical Ope the Production of Official Stat	nd Use Statistica e institutions res of infrastructur Integration of t the Production erations of Natio tistics.	al Data, risin sponsible fo res for analy the informat of Agrarian nal Statistic	g from 65.2% r generating a sis and inforn ion collectior Statistic in Na s; and (v) - Ap	in 2013 to 7 agrarian stati nation proce system in P tional Statis proval and P	0.6% in 2015. This evolut stics, (MASA and INE), hig ssing and the degree of c EDSA, (iii) - Approval and tics, (iv) - Approval and Pu	ion is due t ghlighting:(coordinatio l Publicatic ublication (
capacit y to generat e and use agricult ure statisti cal data and inform ation (ASCI), byAgricultural Statistics Capacity Index, availableNot availableNot available65.6Not available64.1Not availableUse agricult ure statisti cal data and inform ation (ASCI), bySources of verification and other specific comments:ASCI data is published every two years and so we have it for 2015 and 2017	the Index	ltem		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	_
 e and use Sources of verification and other specific comments:ASCI data is published every two years and so we have it for 2015 and 2017 2017 cal data and inform ation (ASCI), by 	capacit y to	Agricultur	ral Statistics Capacity Index,	Not		Not	64.1	Not available	_
	e and use agricult ure statisti cal data and inform ation (ASCI), by		of verification and other spec	cific comments:	ASCI data i	s published e	very two ye	ars and so we have it for	r 2015 and

tor: Index of capacit y to generat e and use agricult ure statistic al data and informa tion, (ASCI)					
PC 7.2 Peer Revie w and Recip rocal Accou ntabil ity	What was the important action that has been taken in the past two years (or since the last BR report) to help achieve this goal? ACTION7.2		group called the Donor (AgRED) has been establ Bank and is composed of AgRED is to support the promote dialogue among meet ordinarily once a mo	Working Gro ished. It is cha 30 Internation implementat various staked onth and extra	2011, the development partners' coordination oup on Agriculture and Rural Development aired by the European Union and the World hal Cooperation Agencies. The main mission of ion of PNISA and CAADP in general and to holders in the sector. The members of AgRED ordinarily whenever it justifies. d platforms for reciprocal accountability:
<u>Targe</u> <u>t:</u> Stimul	Parameter /Sub-Parameter			As at 2018 Yes or No	If No, comment
ate alignm ent, harmo nizatio n and	 Adherence to mutual accountability principles (%), AMAP = (MAPS/6) x 100 1a. Number of mutual 	 i. Shared vision, objectives and strategies ii. agreed performance Indicadors iii. evidence based analysis iv. inclusive of key stakeholders 		yes yes yes	
coordi nation betwe en	accountability principles satisfied by the country, MAPS 2. Existence of mutual	v. transpa	irent dialogue itment to implement	yes yes	

multi-	accountability mechanism and	1. Review/JSR Steering Committee	No	To be estiablished in 2019
sector	platform: EMAP	2. Review/JSR Secretariat	No	To be established in 2019
al		3. Review/JSR Terms of Reference	yes	
efforts	2a. Number of best practices	4. Financial and human resources	yes	
and	satisfied by the country, BPS	5. Broad group of relevant	yes	
multi-		stakeholders for the review or JSR		
institu		6. Assessment of existing	yes	
tional		agricultural policy dialogue and		
platfor ms for		review processes; data quality and		
		analytical capacities		
peer review		7. Commissioned review/JSR	yes	
		relevant studies	·	
, mutua		8. Review/JSR review Team	yes	
1		9. Review /JSR Report	yes	
learni		10. Review /JSR validation meeting	yes	
ng and		11. Action Plan	yes	
recipr		12. Experiences to share with other		
ocal		countries		
accou				
ntabili		i. Development results	yes	
ty	3. Coverage of agricultural			
(achie	review report: CARR	ii. Agriculture sector performance	yes	
ve 100%		iii. Financial and non-financial	yes	
for	3a. Number of key areas	commitments including by NSAs		
inclusi	covered by the country's	iv. policy and institutional review	yes	
ve and	review report, NKAA	v. assessment of linkages	yes	
institu		vi. Review recommendations	yes	
tionali				
zed				
mecha	Verification source (s) and oth	per specific comments:		
nisms		•		
and				eport (JSR) 2017, available from the Planning
platfor				ort indicates that five principles of mutual
ms for				performance indicators, (ii) - evidence based
recipr			e transparen	t; and (v) - Commitment to implement the
ocal	evaluation / review reco	ommendation.		
and	2. 2. The CAADP recomme	nds the establishment of an Agrarian	Sector Coord	dination Committee (CCSA), as responsible for

peer review , ECI) by 2018. <i>Indica tor:</i> Existe nce of institu tional zed inclus ve mecha nisms for recipr ocal	 cooperation agencies, private sector, producer organizations and civil society. The body is chaired Agriculture and Food Security (MASA). In addition to the MASA, there are also nine public institutions that are co-members of the platform Ministry of Economy and Finance; (ii) Ministry of Industry and Commerce, (iii) Ministry of Labor, Social Security, (iv) Ministry of Transport and Communications, (v) Ministry of Mineral Resource: Ministry of Gender, Child and Social Action, (vii) - Ministry of the Sea, Interior Water and Fisheries an Higher Education, Science and Technology. The Terms of reference of CCSA have already been developed and clearly indicate the specific activitie The terms of reference also recommend that CCSA be held ordinarily twice a year, in the first and thir year. However, since the CCSA was established, the body met for the first time on 15 May 2017, reunião tenha sido realizada nem em 2015 e 2016, a DPCI envolveu vários actores de desenvolviment na implementação da PEDSA. Experiências do envolvimento dos membros do CCSA já foram compartipaíses. Por exemplo, Moçambique compartilhou essas experiências na implementação da PEDSA. The JSR Report identified some constraints in CAADP implementation, the first gap is the inconsistent CCSA members in CCSA meetings that weaken planning and multi-stakeholder involvement in the Join process). The second gap is the absence of the CCSA secretariat which should serve as the driving force implementation of the ISB process in the country. The last gap is the lack of MOZSAKSS that could provide the process in the country. 	
peer reviev , (ECI)	w meeting: and (vii) Ex	stakeholder group relevant to JSR; (iv) JSR Review Team; (v) Report of the JSR; (vi) JSR validation periences to share with other countries.
Agriculture ReviewbeeProcessthe	at was the important action tha n taken in the past two years (or last BR report) to help achieve I? ACTION7.3	ince Enter text
Agriculture Review Process that involves tracking	àmeter/Sub-Parâmeter iennial Country Report, BR1	2018 Progress

PC 7.3

made in implementing the Malabo	1.1. Has the report been validated? (Yes or no)	validated				
Declaration, by availing the regular		If yes, coment:				
country Biennial		Stakeholder group i. Government	Yes or no yes			
Report to the AU		ii. CSOs	Yes			
Assembly.		iii. Private Sector	yes			
Indicator:	1.2. If yes, which stakeholder groups participated in the	iv. Producers	yes			
Country Biennial Report submission,	validation (Yes or No)	v. Producers Organization	yes			
(BR)		vi.				
		DesenvolpmentPartne rs/ donors	yes			
	This is generated by the eBR system					
	Parâmetro /Sub-Parametro	2018 Progress				
	2. Quality of the 1st Draft of the Countr	Gerado pela eBR				
	2a. Number of parameters reported by	Gerado pela eBR				
	2b. Total number of parameters reflected	Gerado pela eBR				
	To be ser completed by RECy the REC					
	Parameter/Sub-Parameter	2018 Progress				
	3. 2º Draft 2 Biennial Report of the Cou					
	3a. Has the country participated in o validation of the ECN? (Yes or no or NA)					
	3b. If not, explain					
	To be ser completed by AUC/NPCCA					
	Parameter /Sub-Parameter	2018 Progress				

4. Submission of the Country Biennial Report to the AUC / NPCA through RECs, BR ₄	
4.1. Has there been any comment on the report sent to the REC by the AUC? (Yes or No or NA)	
4.2.1.If so, did REC respond satisfactorily? (Yes or no)	
4.2.2. If not, explain	
Verification source (s) and other specific comments:	

Observations on the Evaluation and other general comments

-

-